

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 193 OF 2026

Prashant Ram Shringi & Ors. ... Petitioners.

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ... Respondents.

Ms. Jyoti Dawra. Advocate for Petitioners.

Mr. Kush Gala, Advocate for Respondent No. 2.

Mr. Kiran Shinde, APP for Respondent/State.

CORAM : ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.

DATE : 22nd JANUARY, 2026.

P.C. :

1. Ms. Jyoti Dawara, learned Advocate for the Petitioners craves leave to correct the prayer clause (b) to include the number of the charge-sheet which has been filed pending present Petition. Leave granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.

2. This Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India and under section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha

Sanhita, 2023 is preferred for quashing of FIR bearing No. 486 of 2025 registered with Kopari Police Station, Thane under section 498-A, 323, 504, 506 read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code and the charge-sheet bearing R.C.C. No. 4131 of 2025 arising out of the said FIR.

3. The parties have resolved their differences and the Respondent No.2 (complainant) has no objection for quashing the proceedings. The Petitioners as well as the Respondent No. 2 are present in court and are identified by their respective Advocates.

4. Mr. Kush Gala, learned Advocate for the Respondent No. 2 tenders an affidavit dated 22nd January, 2026 affirmed by Respondent No. 2 before the Notary SN. Dhanage, alongwith Consent Terms dated 22nd January, 2026 signed by the Petitioner No. 1 and the Respondent No. 2, the same are taken on record and marked as “X” collectively for identification. The Respondent No. 2 states that affidavit is filed out of her own will and without any pressure from any person and the contents of the affidavit are as per her say. The Respondent No. 2 and the Petitioner No. 1 again

jointly submit that the consent terms dated 22nd January, 2026 are as per their settlement. The Respondent No. 2 states that she has no objection for quashing of the criminal proceedings against the Petitioner.

5. Mr. Kiran Shinde, learned APP for the State submits that in view of the document ("X" colly.), no purpose would be served in continuing the criminal proceedings. As such, he states that the criminal proceedings can be quashed.

6. Considering the amicable settlement between parties, the statements made by the Respondent No. 2 in her Affidavit ("X" colly.), the nature of dispute and having regards to the judicial pronouncements in the case of *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab*¹, *Narinder Singh & ors v. State of Madhya Pradesh*² and, *Parbatbhai Aahir Alias Parbatbhai Bhimsinbhai Karmur and Others Vs. State of Gujarat and Anr.*³, there is no impediment if this Petition is allowed. I therefore deem it fit and proper to exercise the power under Section 528 of BNSS and quash the criminal proceedings.

1 (2012) 10 SCC 303

2 2025 SCC Online SC 466

3 (2017) 9 SCC 641

7. Writ Petition No. 193 of 2026 is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a). Consequently, FIR No. 486 OF 2025 registered at Kopari Police Station, Thane and the charge-sheet bearing R.C.C. No. 4131 of 2025 are quashed and set aside.

8. No order as to costs.

(ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.)