

Sharayu Khot.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 13625 OF 2025

IN

WRIT PETITION (ST.) NO. 15681 OF 2025

Shri Sagar Vasant Pawar

...Petitioner

Versus

The Commissioner,
Pimpri-Chinchwad Municipal Corporation & Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Gaurav Bandiwadkar for the Petitioner.

Mr. G.H. Keluskar for the Respondent No. 1.

Mr. S.P. Kamble, AGP for the Respondent Nos. 2 to 4-State.

CORAM : R.I. CHAGLA J
ADVAIT M. SETHNA, J

DATE : 21 January 2026

ORDER :

1. At the outset, the learned Counsel for the Petitioner-Applicant has sought for permission to amend the prayer clause in the Interim Application to add prayer for condonation of delay of 39 days for filing of the present Interim Application and for restoration of the Writ Petition by setting aside the orders dated 26th June 2025 and 11th July 2025 passed by the Registrar (Judicial-I). Permission is granted.

SHARAYU
PANDURANG
KHOT

Digitally
signed by
SHARAYU
PANDURANG
KHOT
Date:
2026.01.27
17:56:40
+0530

2. Amendment shall be carried out in the prayer clause of the Interim Application forthwith.

3. Re-verification is dispensed with.

4. The Applicant has stated that the matter had been listed on 11th June 2025 before the Registrar (Judicial-I) upon filing of the Writ Petition on 29th April 2025 for removal of office objections. The matter was again listed on 26th June 2025 before the Registrar (Judicial-I) for removal of office objections. However, the office objections were not removed, inspite of directions issued by the Registrar (Judicial-I) on the said dates.

5. Thereafter, the matter was again listed on 11th July 2025 before the Registrar (Judicial-I), pursuant to which the office objections were again not removed. By the conditional order, the matter was dismissed for default for non removal of office objections within the stipulated period.

6. The Applicant has stated that the office objections had not been removed within the stipulated period due to the

inadvertence of the Advocate's Clerk.

7. Considering the reasons for non removal of office objections, which is due to default of the Advocate's Clerk, the Applicant should not be made to suffer. Accordingly, we consider it appropriate to allow the Interim Application.

8. The order dated 11th July 2025 passed by the Registrar (Judicial-I) is set aside and the Writ Petition (St.) No. 15681 of 2025 is restored to the file of this Court.

9. The Applicant shall remove the office objections within a period of two weeks from uploading of this order. This is a last chance given to the Petitioner and in the event, the office objections are not removed within the stipulated period, the Writ Petition shall be rejected without further reference to the Court.

10. Interim Application is accordingly, disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

[ADVAIT M. SETHNA, J.]

[R.I. CHAGLA J.]