



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

37 IA/10466/2025

In

WP/11281/2012

MANHARLAL KALIDAS

VS

N DEVELOPERS AND CO AND ORS

Adv. Laxmi Jenkins a/w. Adv. Sayali Shinde i/b. VIGIL JURIS for
applicant.

None for respondent.

CORAM : RAJESH S. PATIL, J.

DATE : 4 MARCH 2026.

P.C. :

1) This interim application has been filed on behalf of the respondent no.1 seeking leave to file vakalatnama of the applicant on behalf of the respondent – HUF.

2) Ms. Jenkins, learned counsel appearing for the applicant submits that the original plaintiff has been served by pasting the Notice on the door, so also, the lawyer of the original plaintiff has been served.

3) None appears for the original plaintiff neither any reply has been filed opposing the interim application.

- 4)** It is the case of the applicant that the applicant being a HUF has been represented through Mr. Rajendra Manharlal Sayani, hence, permission is sought to allow the applicant – Mr. Rajendra Manharlal Sayani to file vakalatnama on behalf of the respondent – Mr. Manharlal Kalidas Sayani – HUF.
- 5)** After hearing learned counsel for the applicant and after going through the contents of the interim application, I am convinced that present interim application needs to be allowed.
- 6)** Interim Application stands allowed in terms of **prayer clause 9(i)**.
- 7)** Registry to act on the basis of the authenticated copy of this order.

(Rajesh S. Patil, J.)