

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

FIRST APPEAL NO.1677 OF 2025

Mr. Keru Raoji Jadhav
(Since Deceased Thr. His Lrs.) & Ors.Appellant
Versus
The State Of Maharashtra Thr.
The Special Land Acquisition OfficerRespondent

WITH
FIRST APPEAL NO.1684 OF 2025
WITH
FIRST APPEAL NO.3634 OF 2025
IN
FIRST APPEAL NO.1684 OF 2025
WITH
FIRST APPEAL NO.1685 OF 2025
WITH
FIRST APPEAL NO.1683 OF 2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION (ST) NO.1422 OF 1999
IN
FIRST APPEAL NO.1683 OF 2025
WITH
FIRST APPEAL NO.1679 OF 2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION (ST) NO.1426 OF 1999
IN
FIRST APPEAL NO.1679 OF 2025
WITH
FIRST APPEAL NO.1194 OF 2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION (ST) NO.1428 OF 1999
IN
FIRST APPEAL NO.1194 OF 2025
WITH
FIRST APPEAL NO.1678 OF 2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION (ST) NO.1432 OF 1999
IN
FIRST APPEAL NO.1678 OF 2025

Ms. Bhavana Khemani *i/b. Mr. Anil Ahuja, for Appellant.*

Mr. D.J. Haldankar, *AGP for State.*

CORAM: SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.

DATE : FEBRUARY 3, 2026

ORDER :

1. Learned Counsel for the Appellant submits that the key grievance in the impugned judgment is that it fails to recognize that the value of transactions in the neighbouring villages would be claimed to be a valid benchmark in view of there having been no transactions in the village in which the land was acquired.
2. This bunch of Appeals are inter-connected and needless to say, considering that it is not in favour of the landlosers, there are no cross appeals by the State.
3. Considering the issue involved, tag and list all the appeals for ***“Final Hearing” on February 24, 2026.***
4. Parties shall prepare a convenience compilation to focus the attention on the core issues involved in the adjudication of these appeals.

5. All actions required to be taken pursuant to this order shall be taken upon receipt of a downloaded copy as available on this Court's website.

[SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.]