

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

COURT RECEIVER'S REPORT NO. 7 OF 2026

IN

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 495 OF 2025

Pallavi Sawal ... APPLICANT

: VERSUS :

Ashok D. Bhuta & Ors. ... RESPONDENTS

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 496 OF 2025

Pallavi P. Chavan ... APPLICANT

: VERSUS :

Ashok D. Bhuta & Ors. ... RESPONDENTS

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 497 OF 2025

Kartiki Akshay Dawre ... APPLICANT

: VERSUS :

Ashok D. Bhuta & Ors. ... RESPONDENTS

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 498 OF 2025

Balgovind L. Sharma ... APPLICANT

: VERSUS :

Ashok D. Bhuta & Ors. ... RESPONDENTS

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 499 OF 2025

Daniel Vethamuthu ... APPLICANT

: VERSUS :

Ashok D. Bhuta & Ors.

... **RESPONDENTS**

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 500 OF 2025

Kisan Dharmajraj Patil

... **APPLICANT**

: VERSUS :

Ashok D. Bhuta & Ors.

... **RESPONDENTS**

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 501 OF 2025

Pradeep Chavan

... **APPLICANT**

: VERSUS :

Ashok D. Bhuta & Ors.

... **RESPONDENTS**

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO.502 OF 2025

Sanjay B. Kanojiya

... **APPLICANT**

: VERSUS :

Ashok D. Bhuta & Ors.

... **RESPONDENTS**

Mr. Rajendra Mishra with Ms. Priyanka Ghosh with Simal Oza I.b. Solicis Lex, for the Applicants.

Mr. Ketan Parekh with Mr. Kavish Pandya i/b. K.R. Parekh & Co., for the Respondents.

Mrs. Nandini Y. Deshpande, 1st Assistant to Court Receiver present.

CORAM : SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.

DATED : 15 APRIL 2026.

P.C :

- 1) The Court Receiver has filed Report seeking clarifications in respect of the premises in possession of Smt. Pallavi Sawal, Mr. Sanjay B. Kanojiya, Kartiki Akshay Dawre (Mr. Sanjay R. Parab) and Mr. Kishan D. Patil.
- 2) It appears that multiple tactics are employed to frustrate execution of the decree. Disputes are sought to be created in respect of identity of premises or deliberately some other persons are shown to be in occupation.
- 3) It appears that the aforesaid four occupiers have created disputes about the identity of the premises in their possession. In my view, the dispute relating to identity is totally irrelevant. On account of repeated orders passed by the Court, the position is now clear that no occupant can occupy any premises in the constructed portion of the suit property in respect of which eviction decree has been passed. All objections of obstructionists have already been rejected.
- 4) In that view of the matter, the Court Receiver shall forthwith take possession of all premises to be found in possession/occupation of Smt. Pallavi Sawal, Mr. Sanjay B. Kanojiya, Kartiki Akshay Dawre (Mr. Sanjay R. Parab) and Mr. Kishan D. Patil. If any resistance is offered by the aforementioned persons for taking over possession of the premises in their occupation, the Court Receiver shall proceed to take forcible possession from them. The local Police Station is directed to provide necessary police assistance to the Court Receiver.
- 5) If the premises are found locked, the Court Receiver shall proceed to break open the lock and take possession. The Respondents-decreeholders shall assist the Court Receiver in identifying the premises of the above mentioned persons.
- 6) Additionally, it is also directed that in the event any other person claims occupation of Unit-D/11, Gala No.1, Unit No.A/11 or A/14, the Court Receiver shall take possession from those persons.

- 7) With the above directions, the Court Receiver's Report is disposed of.
- 8) Fees of the report are fixed at Rs.5,000/- which the Court Receiver is permitted to deduct from the funds available in the Suit account.

[SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.]

NEETA
SHAILESH
SAWANT

Digitally
signed by
NEETA
SHAILESH
SAWANT
Date:
2026.04.16
20:02:18
+0530