

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 17069 OF 2025

Vatsalabai Tukaram More .. Petitioner

V/s.

State Of Maharashtra Thr Tahsildar .. Respondents
Dindori, Nashik And Ors

Mr. Sachin Gite, for Petitioner.

Ms. Ashwini Purav, AGP, for Respondent No. 1/State.

**CORAM : MANISH PITALE &
SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, JJ.**

DATE : 27TH JANUARY 2026.

PC:

1. Heard the learned counsel for the Petitioner.
2. We find from the contents of the petition that the Petitioner has directly challenged an order passed by the Debts Recovery Tribunal (DRT) in writ jurisdiction.
3. There is a statutory remedy available to the Petitioner under Section 18 of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest (SARFAESI) Act, 2002, to approach the Debt Recovery Appellate Tribunal (DRAT).
4. Since a statutory efficacious alternative remedy is available to the Petitioner, we decline to entertain the present Writ Petition and

accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed.

5. Needless to say, the order passed today will not come in the way of the Petitioner in taking recourse to the aforesaid alternative remedy available to the Petitioner.

6. All contentions are kept open.

(SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, J.)

(MANISH PITALE, J.)