

*Shabnoor*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO.16118 OF 2025**

SHABNOOR  
AYUB  
PATHAN

Digitally signed by  
SHABNOOR AYUB  
PATHAN  
Date: 2026.04.28  
15:50:09 +0530

Sheetal Chhaya Cooperative Housing  
Society Limited Through  
Its Secretary Sunit Khaire ... Petitioner

**V/s.**

The District Deputy Registrar  
Cooperative Society & Competent  
Authority & Ors. ... Respondents

**WITH  
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.13545 OF 2025**

Sheetal Chhaya Cooperative Housing  
Society Limited Through  
Its Secretary Sunit Khaire ... Applicant

**In the matter between:**

Sheetal Chhaya Cooperative Housing  
Society Limited Through  
Its Secretary Sunit Khaire ... Petitioner

**V/s.**

The District Deputy Registrar  
Cooperative Society & Competent  
Authority & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. C. Singh a/w Vaibhav Kamble i/b R. V. Sankpal &  
Associates, for the Petitioner.

Mr. A. R. Deolekar, AGP, for the State – Respondent  
No.1.

**CORAM : AMIT BORKAR, J.**

**DATED : APRIL 28, 2026**

**P.C.:**

1. The challenge in the present petition arises from an order passed by the Competent Authority rejecting the application seeking issuance of a corrigendum. The corrigendum sought pertained to the failure to mention the undivided right in the RG area. Such a modification cannot be construed as a procedural review. The issue is no longer *res integra* and is covered by the judgment of this Court in *Kashish Park Realty Pvt. Ltd. & Anr. v. State of Maharashtra*, (2021) 3 Mah LJ 778.
2. In that view of the matter, the petition challenging the rejection of the application for issuance of a corrigendum cannot be entertained.
3. However, it shall be open to the petitioner to challenge the original order passed under Section 11(3) of the Maharashtra Ownership Flats (Regulation of the Promotion of Construction, Sale, Management and Transfer) Act, 1963 by invoking the writ jurisdiction of this Court. In the event such a petition is filed, the same shall be considered on its own merits and in accordance with law.
4. The Writ Petition stands dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.
5. In view of the disposal of the Writ Petition, the Interim Application does not survive and stands disposed of.

**(AMIT BORKAR, J.)**