

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.9630 OF 2025

Rajendra Bhausahab Gatkhal

.....Petitioner

Vs.

The State Of Maharashtra & Anr.

.....Respondents

Mr. Anshuman Deshmukh, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Smt. Reena A. Salunkhe, AGP for Respondent Nos.1 and 2-State.

**CORAM : A. S. GADKARI AND
SHYAM C. CHANDAK, JJ.**

DATE : 24th FEBRUARY, 2026.

P.C.:-

1) By this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the Petitioner seeks to challenge the Notice dated 17th June 2025, issued by the Respondent No.2. By the said Notice, the Petitioner has been called upon to give his explanation/say, as to why the amount of penalty mentioned in the said Notice be not recovered from him. It is thus clear that, the said Notice itself provides for the remedy available under the law.

1.1) Though the Petitioner is having remedy to reply of the said Notice, instead of it, he has directly filed present Petition by approaching this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

It is the contention of the Petitioner that, he has no alternate remedy.

- 2) To prove his bonafide while invoking jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, we direct the Petitioner to deposit in the Registry of this Court the said Government Revenue of Rs. 1,73,375/- within a period of two weeks from the date of uploading of the present Order on the official website of the High Court of Bombay.
- 3) Stand over to 17th March 2026.
- 4) To be listed under the caption 'For Directions'.

(SHYAM C. CHANDAK, J.)

(A.S. GADKARI, J.)