

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.6962 OF 2025

Satyasheel Milind Borwankar & Anr.Petitioners

Versus

Nashik Municipal Corporation & Anr.Respondents

Mr. Chaitanya Nikte (through video conferencing) a/w.
Mr. Shubhankar Kulkarni for the Petitioners.

Mr. Rohit Sakhadeo for Respondent No.1.

Mr. P.P. Kakade, Addl. GP a/w. Mr. S.P. Kamble, AGP for
Respondent No.2.

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE

&

HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.

DATE : 18th APRIL, 2026

P.C. :-

1. The Petitioners admit that, at the time of adoption, no provision of the Hindu Adoption and Maintenance Act was adhered to or complied with.

2. We have pointed out a recent judgment delivered by this Court in *Mangesh Bhaskarrao Manwatkar and Ors. v/s. Union of India and Anr.*¹ The learned Advocate for the Petitioners submits that the biological mother is now available and willing to get the

¹ Judgment dated 30th March, 2026 in Civil Writ Petition No.16232 of 2025.

adoption registered.

3. In case such a registration of the adoption, strictly in accordance with the rules, is done, the Petitioners are at liberty to avail of a remedy as is permissible in law.

4. In view of the above, **this Petition is disposed off.**

5. At this juncture, the learned Advocate for the Petitioners submits that, in the event there is any obstacle in the path of the adoption, an appropriate remedy would be availed of.

(HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)