

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 4364 OF 2025

Gyanodaya Vidya Mandir And Junior College	...Petitioner
Versus	
The State Of Maharashtra School Education And Ors	...Respondents

Adv. Sandeep Mishra, Adv. Madhura Mulay, for the Petitioner.

Adv. Reena A. Salunkhe, AGP for State.

Ms. Manya Kadam and Dr. Smita Desai, D.O.

**CORAM : R. I. CHAGLA J &
ADVAIT M. SETHNA, J.**

DATED : 23 FEBRUARY 2026

P.C.:-

1. Having heard learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner as well as the APP for the Respondent, learned counsel for the Petitioner states that the Petitioner be permitted to make another representation to Respondent No. 1 – State of Maharashtra, upon the setting aside of the undated order passed by Respondent No. 4 (Exhibit-Z) to the petition.
2. Learned AGP, on instructions, states that Respondent No. 1 – State of Maharashtra is the appropriate authority to decide the representation of the Petitioner. In the event, a representation is made by the Petitioner in terms of the prayer sought in the petition, Respondent No. 1 shall decide the same

within a period of four weeks from the receipt of the representation. The statement is accepted.

3. In view of the above, the Petitioner shall file a fresh representation in terms of prayer clauses (C) and (D) in the present writ petition within a period of one week from today. The undated order of Respondent No. 4 (Exhibit-Z) to the petition is accordingly set aside. In the event that a representation is made by the Petitioner, the same shall be decided by the State of Maharashtra within a period of four weeks from the receipt of the representation.

4. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

[ADVAIT M. SETHNA, J.]

[R.I. CHAGLA, J.]