

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 382 OF 2026**

Mohan Kashiram Kadam

..Petitioner

**Versus**

Radha Mohan Kadam & Ors.

...Respondents

Mr. Mehul Rathod a/w Ms. Dhanashree Pawaskar a/w Mr.  
Darshan Vora i/by KVT Legal, for the petitioner.  
Mr. Sachindra Shetye a/w Mr. Nipun Sawane, for the  
Respondents.

**CORAM: N. J. JAMADAR, J.**

**DATE : 27<sup>th</sup> JANUARY 2026**

**P.C.:**

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the parties.
2. The challenge in this petition is to an order dated 28<sup>th</sup> October, 2025 passed by the learned Judge directing the petitioner/respondent in Petition No. A-139/2023 to produce the documents.

SANTOSH  
SUBHASH  
KULKARNI  
Digitally signed  
by SANTOSH  
SUBHASH  
KULKARNI  
Date:  
2026.01.28  
18:28:10 +0530

3. The impugned order reads as under:-

“Perused. Heard both the learned  
Advocate. Respondents is directed to  
produce the documents called for.”

4. The order is sans reasons. The learned Judge ought to have ascribed reasons, howsoever brief, so as to test the legality and correctness of the order. Thus, the impugned order which is

bereft of reasons, which are the soul of adjudication can not be sustained.

5. Resultantly, the petition stands allowed.
6. The impugned order stands quashed and set aside.
7. The application is remitted back to the learned Judge for a fresh decision on merits after ascribing reasons.
8. It is clarified that, this Court has not entered into the merits of the matter.

**[N. J. JAMADAR, J.]**