

Sumedh

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO.593 OF 2025
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.225 OF 2024**

Vijay Satramdas Lakhani	... Appellant
V/s.	
Hindustan Builderse Thr. Its Partners	... Respondent

**WITH
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.225 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.6689 OF 2024
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.225 OF 2024**

Mr. Mutahhar Khan a/w. Adv. Devansh Shah, Adv. Dharmesh Joshi, Adv. Ritesh Yadav, Adv. Chinmay D. for the Petitioner/Applicant.

Mr. Atul Damle, Senior Advocate a/w. Adv. Suresh Sabrad, Adv. Jeetendra S., Adv. Amey Sawant, Adv. Neha Zanje, Adv. A.Patel, Adv. Pratik Sabrad, Adv. Eshwari Kudalkar i/by JS Legal for Respondent No.1.

Mr. Chetan Kapadia, Senior Advocate a/w. Adv. Rahul Sinha, Adv. Harshit Tyagi i/by DSK Legal for the Respondent-CIDCO.

CORAM : KAMAL KHATA, J.

DATED : 14TH JANUARY 2026.

P.C. :

1. Advocate for Respondent No.1, on instructions, states that they would have no objection to the Appellant raising their contentions and claims on the basis of the subject agreement/s for

the proposed new building.

2. In that view, the Appellant has no objection if the old building is demolished and Respondent proceeds with the construction of the new premises.

3. The Appellant is at liberty to mention the matter for interim orders, if necessary.

4. All contentions of the Appellant are kept open.

5. List the matter on 12th February 2026.

(KAMAL KHATA, J.)

Note: This Order is modified as per to the Speaking to the Minutes of Order dated 22nd January 2026. The corrections are shown in Italics.