

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.13809 OF 2024

Shree Apartment  
Condominium through its Secretary .....Petitioner

*Versus*

Pune Municipal Corporation  
Thr. Its Commissioner & Ors. ....Respondents

----

Dr. Uday Warunjikar a/w Mr. Sumit Kate, Advocate for the  
Petitioner.

Mr. Sameer Shinde, Secretary of the Petitioner present in Court.

Mr. P.P. Kakade, Addl. GP a/w Ms. Priyanka Chavan, AGP, for the  
Respondent-State.

Mr. Bharat Gadhavi i/b. Mr. Tejesh Dande, Advocate for  
Respondent No.5.

Mr. Suresh Sabrad a/w Mr. Pratik Sabrad i/b. Mr. Vishal Navale,  
Advocate for Respondent No.6.

----

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &  
ABHAY J. MANTRI, JJ.  
DATE : 25<sup>th</sup> FEBRUARY, 2026

P.C. :-

1. A Praecipe was circulated on 24<sup>th</sup> February 2026, on  
instructions from the Petitioner, to withdraw this Petition. The said  
Praecipe is marked as 'X' for identification.

2. Dr. Warunjikar, learned Advocate, tenders a copy of the Consent Terms duly signed and verified by the parties as well as their lawyers, along with a sketch and a handwritten resolution (8 pages).

3. Considering the consent terms between the Petitioner and Respondent Nos.5 and 6, the said Consent Terms in original form are taken on record and marked as 'Y' collectively for identification. The learned Advocates submit that the Consent Terms do not create any financial burden, directly or indirectly, on the Corporation and the State, which are not parties to the Consent Terms.

4. The learned Advocate for the Petitioner submits, on instructions, that he seeks to withdraw this Petition in the light of the Consent Terms 'Y'.

5. In view of the above, this **Petition is disposed off** in view of the Consent Terms 'Y'.

(ABHAY J. MANTRI, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)