

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 6256 OF 2024

Chavan Dilip Baburao & Ors. ...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5403 OF 2024

Gajanan Satish Vandarne & Ors. ...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 8728 OF 2024

Kishori Narayan Kandalkar & Ors. ...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION (ST) NO. 14808 OF 2024

Datta s/o Gangadhar Tekale & Anr. ...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1407 OF 2026

Gitanjali Nana More ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Suresh Pakale, Senior Advocate a/w Mr. Nilesh Desai i/by Ms. Padmaja Malgonakar, Advocate for the Petitioners in all these Petitions.

Ms. Nisha Mehra, AGP for the Respondent/State in WP/6256/2024.

Ms. R.M. Shinde, AGP for the Respondent/State in WP/5403/2024.

Ms. P.N. Diwan, AGP for the Respondent/State in WP/8728/2024.

Ms. Priyanka Chavan, AGP for the Respondent/State in WP(ST)/14808/2024.

Mr. V.G. Badgujar, AGP for the Respondent/State in WP/1407/2026.

Mr. Kedar B. Dighe, Advocate for Respondent Nos.2 & 3/Pimpri – Chinchwad Municipal Corporation.

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
&
ABHAY J. MANTRI, JJ.**

DATE : 3rd FEBRUARY, 2026

P.C. :-

1. All the Petitioners in Writ Petition No.11555 of 2025, are the Petitioners before us in all these Petitions. They have already been terminated from their contractual service in 19th August, 2025. A Judgment was delivered in Writ Petition No.11555 of 2025, by this Court (Coram : Ravindra V. Ghuge & Ashwin D. Bhobe, J.J.) dated 23rd December, 2025, whereby the Petition challenging their termination from contractual service, has been dismissed.

2. In the present Petitions, these Petitioners are challenging a fresh advertisement, when all of them were party to Writ Petition No.11555 of 2025, wherein their grievance against termination and the request for reinstatement as contractual employees on contracts as were entered into earlier, has been dismissed.

3. In view of the above, these **Writ Petitions stand dismissed**. We could have imposed costs. However, the learned Senior Advocate has pleaded that costs may not be imposed because these Petitioners are already out of employment and they are desperately trying to secure a job for their livelihood. We are, therefore, not imposing costs.

(ABHAY J. MANTRI, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)