

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.6409 OF 2024**

Karamveer Samar Vir Arora, ]  
R/o. Deonar, Mumbai – 400088. ] .. Petitioner

***Versus***

1. State of Maharashtra, ]  
Through Mankhurd Police Station ]  
2. Sudesh Vitthal Kondvilkar, ]  
R/o. Borivali (West), Mumbai – 400091. ] ..Respondents

Mr. Anukul Seth with Ms. Aayushya Geruja and Mr. Saajid Sheikh,  
Advocates, i/by Kaleeyantey Law Firm, for the Petitioner.

Mr. S.A. Karmarkar, Additional Public Prosecutor for Respondent No.1-  
State of Maharashtra.

Mr. Anand Palande, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

Mr. Sudesh Vitthal Kondvilkar, Respondent No.2 is present in Court.

**CORAM : GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, J.**

**DATE : 11<sup>TH</sup> MARCH 2026.**

**P.C. :**

1. The present Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking quashing of MECR no.1 of 2021 dated 2<sup>nd</sup> January 2021 and the subsequent charge-sheet bearing no.3298/PS/2022 filed before the learned Judicial Magistrate, 52<sup>nd</sup> Court, Kurla, Mumbai.

2. Due to differences and disputes between the parties arising out of an incident dated 27<sup>th</sup> March 2019, a First Information Report dated 2<sup>nd</sup>

January 2021 bearing MECR no.1 of 2021 was registered with the Mankhurd Police Station, Mumbai against Respondent no.2. A charge-sheet bearing no.3298/PS/2022 has been filed before the learned Metropolitan Magistrate, 52<sup>nd</sup> Court, Kurla, Mumbai.

3. The differences between the parties have now been amicably settled. Mr. Palande, the learned advocate for Respondent no.2 has tendered affidavits dated 11<sup>th</sup> July 2025 and 3<sup>rd</sup> February 2026 which record that Respondent no.2 has no objection to the quashing of the said MECR. He tenders a copy of the Aadhaar card of Respondent no.2. The said affidavits along with a copy of the Aadhaar card are taken on record and shall form part of the present proceedings. Respondent no.2 is present in the Court and is identified by his learned advocate.

4. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh vs State of Punjab (2014) 6 SCC 466* while dealing with an FIR for non-compoundable offences has held that the High Court can invoke its inherent power to quash its criminal cases provided that the court is satisfied that an amicable settlement is reached which serves the interest of justice, the parties have settled their dispute, and affidavits have been filed to that effect. Thus, **Criminal Writ Petition no.6409 of 2026 is allowed** in terms of prayer clause (b), which reads as under:-

*“(b) This Hon’ble Court may be pleased to allow the above petition and/or by way of writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ of like nature may be pleased to quash the charge-sheet bearing MECR no.01/2021 (FIR no.) converted into C.C. no.3298/PS/2022 pending before learned Judicial Magistrate, First Class, 52<sup>nd</sup> Court, Kurla at Mumbai.”*

**[ GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, J. ]**