Digitally signed by PRASHANT VILAS RANE
Date: 2026.08.14 12:49:04 +0530

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 1244 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.10420 OF 2025

Jitendrasingh Premsing Patil ...Petitioner
Versus
Maharashtra State Electricity Transmission Co. Ltd. & Anr. ...Respondents

---

Mr. Nitin Gaware Patil with Shivkumar S. Sangwad, for Petitioner.
Mr. Neel Helekar with Akhilesh Adhav, for Respondent No.1.
Ms. Anu C. Kaladharan, for Respondent No.3.

CORAM: G. S. KULKARNI &
Dr.NEELA GOKHALE, JJ.

DATE: 11 AUGUST 2026.

P.C.

1. This petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying for the following substantive prayers:

> "(A) Call for record and proceedings of the case.
>
> (B) Issue a writ of mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or directions in the nature of writ of mandamus, thereby directing the respondents to promote the petitioner to the post of Superintending Engineer (Civil) in accordance with the Maharashtra State Electricity Board Classification and Recruitment Regulations, 1961 by considering the deemed date of promotion as 27.09.2023 and petitioner be conferred with all consequential benefits by virtue of promotional post and for that purpose issue necessary orders;
>
> (C) Hold and declare that inaction on the part of respondents in denying the promotion to the petitioner to the post of Superintending Engineer (Civil) as per Maharashtra State Electricity Board Classification and Recruitment Regulations,1961 is illegal, arbitrary and violative of Article 14 & 21 of the Constitution of India hence liable to be quashed and set aside and for that purpose issue necessary orders."

2. After this petition was heard for some time, Mr.Patil, learned Counsel for the petitioner, seeks leave to withdraw this petition with liberty to the petitioner

to approach respondent No.1 / the Competent Authority by making a representation with regard to the promotion to the post of Superintending Engineer, including to assert the grounds as raised in this petition.

3. We are of the opinion that the stand taken by the petitioner is a fair stand. We permit the petitioner to make a representation raising all contentions as raised by him in this writ petition, as also any other contentions.

4. Let a representation be made within a period of ten days from today. If so made, let the same be decided by the Competent Authority within a period of three weeks, by a reasoned order and after granting an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

5. All contentions of the parties are expressly kept open.

6. Disposed of in the aforesaid terms. No costs.

7. The pending Interim Application would not survive. The same stands disposed of.

(Dr.NEELA GOKHALE, J.) (G. S. KULKARNI, J.)