Sharada

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

BAIL APPLICATION NO.3815 OF 2026

Khushi Kaushal Parmar @
Saanvi Shah @ Sach .. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

WITH

INTERIM APPLICATION (ST) NO.20579 OF 2026

SHARADA RANGNATH WAHULE
Digitally signed by SHARADA RANGNATH WAHULE
Date: 2026.10.13 11:42:04 +0530

Rohit Pramod Tilak .. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

.....

- Mr. Shreyansh Mithare a/w Mr. Avinash Kori, Ms. Pooja Sharma, Advocates for Applicant.
- Mr. Vishal Kolekar a/w Mr. Shubham Kadam, Mr. Digambar Tamanke, Advocates for Intervenor in IA/ST/20579/2026.
- Mr. Amit Palkar, APP for Respondent.

.....

CORAM : MILIND N. JADHAV, J.

DATE : OCTOBER 12, 2026

P.C.:

1. Heard Mr. Mithare, learned Advocate for Applicant Mr. Kolekar, Advocate for the Intervenor and Mr. Palkar, learned APP for Respondent.

2. This is an Application under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking bail in connection with C.R. No.134 of 2026 registered with Kharadi Police Station for offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 319(2), 336(2), 336(3), 338, 340,

3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and 66(D) of Information Technology Act, 2000.

3. Applicant in the present case is arraigned as accused no.1. The principal accused persons are accused nos.3 and 4. They were running the company and sought investments from the complainant and others. The prosecution's case is that the complainant was induced into investment into a profitable business in the company at the behest of the present Applicant. It is seen that the Applicant was working as an employee of the company belonging to accused nos. 3 and 4 a business development officer and she interacted with complainant as a part of her duties. Her letter of appointment and salary slips have been appended to the Application. In the interregnum, advocate for Applicant has also informed the Court that accused nos.3 and 4 are in the process of settling their lis with the complainant. Be that as it may the fact that the Applicant was an employee of the company and Applicant not being a beneficiary of any of the amounts invested with the company, I am inclined to consider the Application for grant of bail.

4. Hence, in view of above *prima facie* observations and findings, Bail Application of Applicant is allowed subject to the following terms and conditions:-

(i) Applicant is directed to be immediately released from

prison in connection with CR. No. 134 of 2026;

(ii) Applicant is directed to be released on bail on furnishing P.R. Bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rs. Twenty Five Thousand only) with one or two sureties in the like amount;

(iii) Applicant shall report to the Investigating Officer at concerned Police Station, once every month, on the first Monday of the month between 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. until commencement of trial and thereafter shall attend all dates of hearing before the Trial Court;

(iv) Applicant shall cooperate with the conduct of the trial and remain present before the Trial Court on all dates, unless specifically exempted. Applicant shall not seek unnecessary adjournments. Any such conduct shall entitle the prosecution to apply for cancellation of bail;

(v) Applicant shall not leave the State of Maharashtra without prior permission of the Trial Court;

(vi) Applicant shall not influence any of the witnesses or tamper with the evidence in any manner;

(vii) Applicant shall keep the Investigating Officer informed of her current residential address and mobile telephone

number and shall forthwith inform the Investigating Officer of any change in her residential address or mobile telephone number, as and when such change occurs; and

(viii) Any breach or infraction of any of the aforesaid conditions shall entail cancellation of the bail granted to the Applicant.

5. It is clarified that the observations made in this order are limited for the purpose of granting bail only and I have not made any observations on merits of the case. The trial shall be adjudicated on the strength of the evidence led and strictly on its own merits being uninfluenced with any of the *prima facie* observations made hereinabove in this order.

6. Bail Application is allowed and disposed in the above terms.

[MILIND N. JADHAV, J.]