JYOTI RAJESH MANE
Digitally signed by JYOTI RAJESH MANE
Date: 2026.01.19 11:02:06 +0530

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.11205 OF 2019

Satyashil Motiram Kamble ...Petitioner
Versus
The Additional Commissioner
Tribal Development Dept. And
Ors ...Respondents

Mr. Shekhar Jagtap a/w Ms. Sairuchita Chowdhary i/b. J. Shekhar Associates, for Petitioner.

Ms. D.S.Deshmukhm AGP for Respondent No.1 & 3-State.

CORAM: G. S. KULKARNI &
AARTI SATHE, JJ.

DATE: 17 JANUARY 2026

P.C.

1. This Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed seeking the following substantive reliefs:

> a) This Hon'ble Court may kindly issue writ of certiorari and/ or any other appropriate writ and/or direction and/or order and thereby quash and set aside the Final Merit List dated 31.08.2019
>
> b) This Hon'ble Court may kindly issue writ of mandamus and/or any other appropriate writ and thereby order/ direct the Respondent No. 1 to publish a new Final Merit List and declare the Final Selection Status of the Petitioner;
>
> c) This Hon'ble Court may kindly issue writ of mandamus and/or any other appropriate writ and thereby order/direct the Respondent No.1 to appoint the Petitioner to the post of Warden (Male) of the Tribal Ashram Schools and Hostels as it has clearly been established that the petitioner stood first amongst SC-General 1 Category and therefore is entitled to the reserved seat under the SC-General 1 category;
>
> d) This Hon'ble Court may kindly issue writ of mandamus and/or any other appropriate writ and thereby order/ direct the

Respondent No. 1 to handover the Letter of Appointment to the petitioner;

2. We are informed by the learned counsel for the Respondent that, as per the final merit list, in respect of which relief is sought, appointment letters have already been issued and the selected candidates have already joined. In this position, it would not be possible for this Court to grant any of the reliefs prayed for in the Petition. However, it is open to the Petitioner to challenge the appointment of Respondent No. 2 in independent substantive proceedings .

3. All contentions of the parties in that regard are expressly kept open.

4. The **Petition stands disposed of** in the aforesaid terms. No costs.

(AARTI SATHE, J.) (G. S. KULKARNI, J.)