IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.11156 OF 2026

Vasant Harishchandra Patil,
Through LR : Smt. Dipti Vasant Patil .. Petitioners
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra,
Through Revenue and Forest Department & Ors. .. Respondents

Mr. Ashutosh M. Kulkarni with Mr. Sachin K. Hande and Ms. Poonam K. Pal, Advocates for the Petitioners.

Ms. Kavita N. Solunke, Additional Government Pleader with Smt. P.J. Gavhane, AGP for the Respondent-State of Maharashtra.

Ms. Priyanka Patil (through V.C.), AGP for Respondent No.7.

CORAM : SUMAN SHYAM &
GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, JJ.

DATE : 5th October, 2026.

[THROUGH HYBRID HEARING]

P.C. :

1. Heard Mr. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the Petitioner. Also heard Ms. Kavita Solunke, learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for Respondent Nos.1 to 6 and Ms. Priyanka Patil, learned counsel appearing for Respondent No.7.

2. The Petitioners have approached this Court primarily being aggrieved due to non-consideration of their Revision Application dated

SNEHA ABHAY DIXIT Digitally signed by SNEHA ABHAY DIXIT Date: 2026.10.06 09:43:27 +0530

29th June, 2026 pending before the Hon'ble Minister (Revenue), Government of Maharashtra against the order dated 9th June, 2026 passed by the learned Additional Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division in Revision Application No. Appeal/Desk/RTS/Rev./306/2025. Taking note of the apprehension expressed by the Petitioners that during the pendency of the Revision Application, the jurisdictional Tahsildar may alter the Revenue records, this Court has passed an ad-interim order dated 7th September, 2026 in terms of prayer clause (c) in the Writ Petition, which is holding the field till today.

3. When the matter is called out today, Ms. Kavita Solunke, learned Additional Government Pleader submits, on instructions, that within four weeks from today the Revision Application filed by the Petitioners would be heard and decided finally by the Hon'ble Minister (Revenue). In that view of the matter, we do not find justifiable ground to keep this Writ Petition pending before this Court any further.

4. At this stage, Mr. Kulkarni submits that until such time the Revision Application is disposed of, interest of the Petitioner be protected by continuing the ad-interim order dated 7th September, 2026.

5. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, we dispose of the Writ Petition by granting four weeks time to the concerned Authority to

consider the Revision Application and pass a final order therein after giving adequate opportunity of hearing to the Petitioners. Until such time the final order is passed in the Revision Application, we continue the ad-interim order dated 7th September, 2026 passed by this Court directing maintenance of status-quo with regard to the revenue records.

6. Writ Petition stands disposed of.

[GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, J.] **[SUMAN SHYAM, J.]**