IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.2052 OF 2025

Balkrishna Dnyanoba Khandve & Anr. …Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra …Respondent

None for Applicants.

Ms. S. M. Yadav, APP for Respondent.

Mr. Prashant Patil a/w Harshada Prabhune & Rishabh Srivastava, for the Intervenor/First Informant.

API Sunil Ugale, API, Vishrantwadi Police Station, Pune City, present.

CORAM: MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.
DATED: 17 AUGUST 2026

P.C.:

1. As none has appeared for the Applicant in the morning session, to give one more opportunity to the Applicant the matter was kept back for the afternoon session. However, in the afternoon session also none appears for the Applicant.

2. Ms. Yadav, learned APP, for the Respondent-State, submits that there is one criminal antecedent which has not been disclosed in the Anticipatory Bail Application.

3. Mr. Patil, learned Counsel for the Intervenor/First Informant, states that, there is one more CR bearing No.110 of 2016 registered with Vimantal Police Station, Pune City, for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 34 of the *Indian Penal Code,*

1860 against Applicant No.2-Sagar Balkrishna Khandve i.e. Accused No. 2, which is not disclosed in the Anticipatory Bail Application. There are three NCs registered against the Applicants as per the following details.

Sr. No.	NC/CR No.	Police Station	Act/Sections
1	57/2025	Vimantal	Sections 115(2), 351(2), 352(2) and 3(5) of BNS
2	493/2025	Vimantal	Sections 352, 321(2) of BNS
3	526/2025	Vimantal	Sections 351(2), 342(4) and 3(5) of BNS

4. Mr. Patil, learned Counsel for the Intervenor/First Informant, states that, apart from the above details, there is another FIR against the Applicants being FIR No.126 of 2026 registered with Lohagaon Police Station, Pune City, for the offences punishable under Sections 329(3), 329(4), 324(4) and 35 of the *Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023*.

5. Accordingly, in terms of the law laid down by the Supreme Court, as the details of the antecedents are not set out, the Anticipatory Bail Application is dismissed.

6. In view of the dismissal of the Anticipatory Bail Application, nothing survives in the Interim Application and the same is also disposed of.

7. However, liberty is granted to the applicant to file fresh application by disclosing in the application all the antecedents.

[MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.]