IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 9248 OF 2026

Vivek Eknath Ingle **... Petitioner**

Versus

The Additional Divisional Commissioner And Ors. **... Respondents**

Adv. Praful Karande a/w Adv. Ahmed Zaki Shaikh for Petitioner.

Adv. Y. D. Patil, AGP for Respondent-State.

Adv. Tushar Gujjar a/w Adv. Aman Saraf, Adv. Shamira Naik i/b S. L. Partners for Respondent No. 3.

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

DATE : 17 AUGUST, 2026

P.C. :

1. It is undisputed that the leave and license agreement between the parties has expired on 29 June, 2024. Prior thereto, license was terminated by the licensor, which was challenged before the Competent Authority. However, during the pendency of the proceedings, the license itself came to an end on 29 June, 2024. Thereafter, application is filed by the licensor for vacation of the licensed premises. The Court by the impugned order has directed the eviction of the Applicant and as such

MANE SONALI DILIP
Digitally signed by MANE SONALI DILIP
Date: 2026.08.19 12:31:58 +0530

directions are made to vacate the premises and the matter is kept pending for determining the legality of termination of license prior to the expiry of the license period and for fixing liability. The said order dated 16 May, 2025 passed by the Competent Authority was challenged before the Revisional Authority under Section 44 of the Maharashtra Rent Control Act. The Revisional Authority has also concurred with the finding of the Competent Authority that the license has expired on 29 June, 2024 and upheld the order of the Competent Authority.

2. I see no errors in both the orders. The license period itself has expired and the Petitioner has no right to stay in the licensed premises. Accordingly, the Petition is **dismissed**.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.]