

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

[1] WRIT PETITION NO.5030 OF 2006

Rupali Yashwant Thakur.

..Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
and others.

..Respondents.

WITH

[2] WRIT PETITION NO.5029 OF 2006

Sangita Bhikaji Masage.

..Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
and others.

..Respondents.

WITH

[3] WRIT PETITION NO.5094 OF 2008

Santosh Baburao Maske.

..Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
and others.

..Respondents.

....

Mr.R.K. Mendadkar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.V.A. Gangal, Advocate with Mr.S.S. Deshmukh and Ashok
Gade, Advocates for the respondent.

....

CORAM : D.K. DESHMUKH, AND
K.K. TATED, JJ.

DATED : 30TH NOVEMBER, 2009

P.C.:-

1. Heard learned Counsel for both the sides. Perused the judgment of the Full Bench in the case of ***Shilpa Vishnu Thakur vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.*** reported in ***2009(3) Mh.L.J. 995.***
2. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners states that the facts and law involved in the present Petitions are similar to the facts and law involved in Writ Petition No. 5028 of 2006. Statement is accepted. In view of this statement, for the reasons given in our order dated 30th November, 2009 passed in Writ Petition No.5028 of 2006, the present Writ Petitions are also rejected.
3. At the request of the learned Counsel for the petitioners, the operation of the interim order is extended for a period of eight weeks.

(D.K.DESHMUKH,J)

(K.K. TATED,J)