IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 273 OF 2017

Namrata Zakaria ...Applicant

Vs.

Rajiv Hiranandani and Ors. ...Respondents

*****

None for the Applicant	
Mr. Shailesh S. Ghag	APP for the Respondent-State

*****

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 17th JANUARY 2026

P. C. :-

1. There was an application filed by the Applicant as per the provisions of Section 12 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act against three Respondents. Respondent No. 1 is her husband, whereas Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 are in-laws. The learned Magistrate as per order dated 22nd April 2015 has dropped the proceeding against Respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

2. This order was taken an exception by way of Criminal Appeal

SEEMA KSHITIJ YELKAR
Digitally signed by SEEMA KSHITIJ YELKAR
Date: 2026.01.20 15:33:33 +0530

No. 605 of 2016 and Sessions Court as per order impugned order was pleased to dismiss the appeal. That is why present revision at the instance of daughter-in-law – Applicant. No one is present on her behalf.

3. It is true on 17th August 2022, there was submission made on behalf of Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to file Vakalatnama. Today, no one is present. This revision application is pending since long.

4. I have gone through the impugned orders. The proceedings against both of them are dropped, for the reason that wife and husband have left the share household and started residing separately.

5. I find no illegality in the order. There is no merit in the revision. Hence, Revision Application is dismissed.

(S. M. MODAK, J.)