

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CR. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLN. NO. 152 OF 2026

Sunil Vitthal Ranjane ... Applicant

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra And Anr. ... Respondents

WITH

CRI-INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 206 OF 2026

IN

CR. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLN. NO. 152 OF 2026

Vitthal Pralhad Ramdasi ... Intervenor

In the matter between :-

Sunil Vitthal Ranjane ... Applicant

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra And Anr. ... Respondents

Mr. Yash G. Fadtare for the Applicant.

Mrs. S.N. Deshmukh, A.P.P for the Respondent-State.

Coram : RANJITSINHA RAJA BHONSALE, J.

Date : 2nd April 2026.

PER COURT :

1. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Criminal Appeal No. 825 of 2026, Zeba Khan vs. State of U.P. & Ors. (2026 INSC 144)***, has been pleased to issue directions regarding disclosure of material particulars by Petitioners / Applicants seeking bail. In paragraph No.48 of the said Judgment, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held as under :

“Every petitioner or applicant seeking bail, at any stage of proceedings, is under an obligation to disclose all material particulars, including criminal antecedents and the existence of any coercive processes such as issuance of non-bailable warrants, declaration as a proclaimed offender, or similar proceedings, duly supported by an affidavit, so as to promote uniformity, transparency and integrity in bail adjudication.”

2. In compliance with the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court the Registry has issued Circular dated 11th March 2026, thereby directing the learned Advocate(s) for the Petitioners/Applicants in the concerned bail matters to ensure strict compliance with the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Zeba Khan vs. State of U.P. & Ors. (supra)***, including disclosure of all material particulars supported by an affidavit and adherence to the disclosure framework prescribed in the said judgment.

3. In view thereof, learned Advocate for the Applicant fairly submits that the antecedent of the Applicant has not been mentioned in the Application.

He seeks leave to withdraw the present Anticipatory Bail Application, with liberty to file a fresh Application after mentioning the antecedent of the Applicant.

4. Leave and liberty is granted.
5. Anticipatory Bail Application is disposed of as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.
6. In view of disposal of Anticipatory Bail Application, Interim Application No. 206 of 2026 does not survive and is accordingly disposed of.

[RANJITSINHA RAJA BHONSALE, J.]