

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLN. NO. 154 OF 2026

Adhik Pandurang Tambavekar
Vs.
The State Of Maharashtra & Anr.

Mr. Ramanik Pawar a/w Ms. Prajкта Bhilugade i/b Mr. Amit
Waykool Advocate for Petitioner
Mr. P. P. Deokar, APP for the Respondent-State.

CORAM : SACHIN S. DESHMUKH, J.
DATE : 28th APRIL, 2026.

P. C. :

1. By this Application, the Applicant is seeking regular bail in connection with C.R. No. 08/2025 dated 12/01/2025 registered with Kadegao Police Station, District: Sangli for offences punishable under Sections 109, 85, 333, 351(3) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2. Learned counsel for the Applicant submits that the alleged incident has taken place at the spur of the moment. Investigation is completed and charge-sheet is filed and even the injured is discharged from the hospital. There is no progress in trial. Considering the the length of incarceration, the Applicant deserves

IRESH
MASHAL

Digitally
signed by
IRESH
MASHAL
Date:
2026.05.08
17:39:32
+0530

to be admitted to the bail.

3. *Per contra*, learned APP opposed the Application submitting that the act of the Applicant is rather pre-meditative and considering the number of injuries inflicted on the injured by the Applicant with a sharp weapon and injuries being grievous one, disentitles the Applicant to be admitted to the bail.

4. Upon considering the submissions of the learned counsel for the Applicant and learned APP and perusal of material on record, *prima facie* it appears that the alleged incident has occurred at the spur of moment. Investigation is completed and charge-sheet is filed.

5. Considering the number of witnesses which the prosecution proposes to examine, there is every likelihood that the trial will take its own time to commence and conclude in the near future. In that view of the matter, I am persuaded to exercise the discretion in favour of the Applicant.

6. So far as the apprehension expressed by the learned APP about tampering prosecution witnesses can be adequately taken care by imposing stringent conditions.

7. Hence, following order:

ORDER

- I. The bail application is allowed.
- II. Applicant, Adhik Pandurang Tambavekar be released on bail, on furnishing P.R. bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rs.Fifty Thousand) with one or two local solvent sureties, in the like amount, in connection with C.R. No. 08/2025 dated 12/01/2025 registered with Kadegao Police Station, District: Sangli for offences punishable under Sections 109, 85, 333, 351(3) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, on the following conditions :-

(a) The applicant shall not pressurize the prosecution witnesses and tamper with the prosecution evidence, in any manner.

(b) The applicant shall attend the trial on each and every date unless exempted by the trial Court.

(c) The applicant shall submit Aadhar and Pan Cards to the Investigating Officer and detailed address and phone numbers and two of the near relatives.

(d) In case of breach of any of the conditions by the applicant, it is open for the Prosecution to move the concerned Court seeking cancellation of bail, notwithstanding the fact that this Court has granted bail to the Applicant.

8. Needless to state that the observations rendered herein are to the extent of this application and the trial Court shall not be influenced by the same.

[SACHIN S. DESHMUKH, J.]