

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CR. WRIT PETITION NO. 318 OF 2026

Gangadhar Alias Pilya
Nagnath Bandpatte
Versus

...Petitioner

The State Of
Maharashtra And Ors

...Respondents

Mr. Sachin Rajepandhare (Through VC) a/w. Mr. Vishwajit V. Nalawade,
Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. A. S. Shalgaonkar, APP for respondents-State.

Coram : Madhav J. Jamdar &
Pravin S. Patil, JJ.

Date : May 05, 2026.

P. C. :

1. Heard Mr. Rajepandhare, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Mr. Shalgaonkar, learned APP for the respondents–State.
2. By the present writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has sought relief of habeas corpus on the ground that the petitioner is in illegal custody. On an earlier date, Mr. Rajepandhare, learned counsel for the petitioner, raised the contention that the petitioner has been kept in custody without any detention order.

However, Mr. Shalgaonkar, learned APP, tenders the detention order dated 1st August 2025. He submits that the said detention order was served on the petitioner on 6th August 2025. Thus, a copy of the said detention order has been given to the petitioner, and an additional copy of the said detention order has been given to the learned counsel for the petitioner. Accordingly, nothing survives in the writ petition and the same is disposed of.

3. However, it is clarified that the petitioner is at liberty to challenge the detention order dated 1st August 2025 by filing appropriate proceedings.

4. All contentions in that behalf are expressly kept open.

5. The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms. There shall be no order as to costs.

[Pravin S. Patil, J.]

[Madhav J. Jamdar, J.]