

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 1219 OF 2026

Madan S/o Sadashiv Edake And Ors.	...Petitioners
Versus	
The State Of Maharashtra Through Secretary General Administration Dept. And Ors.	...Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1235 OF 2026

Sunil S/o Hariba Edake And Ors.	...Petitioners
Versus	
The State Of Maharashtra Through Secretary General Administration Dept. And Ors.	...Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION (ST) NO.2198 OF 2026

Vinayak Appa Powar And Ors.	...Petitioners
Versus	
The State Of Maharashtra Through Secretary General Administration Dept. And Ors.	...Respondents

.....
Adv. P. D. Dalvi a/w Adv. Tejas V. Shelake, for Petitioners.
Mr. S. B. Kalel, for the Respondent-State.
Mr. Kedar Lad a/w Adv. Indrayani Patil, for the Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 in all three Writ Petitions.

.....

**CORAM : MADHAV J. JAMDAR &
PRAVIN S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : 18th MARCH, 2026.

P.C. :

1. The Writ Petition No.1235 of 2026 and Writ Petition(St) No.2198 of 2026 are not on Board. Taken on Board.
2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners.
3. The petitioners, who are working as 'Assistant Teachers' at Zilla Parishad, Kolhapur, approached before this Court with a limited grievance that during their service tenure, they have performed the excellent work and accordingly they are entitled for the additional increment in terms of Government Resolution dated 31st December 1989.
4. This Court in series of cases has considered this issue and directed the respective authorities to decide the individual case on its own merits.
5. In the light of the view taken by this Court, the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners is that the case of the petitioners be also referred to the respondent- Zilla Parishad, Kolhapur to consider their grievance.
6. Accordingly, writ petitions are disposed of with direction to the Zilla Parishad, Kolhapur to consider the individual case of the

petitioners for additional increment as per the principles laid down under the Government Resolution dated 31st October 1989 and decide the same on its own merits as expeditiously as possible and in any case within a period of four months.

7. It is clarified that this Court has not considered the merit of the matter and all contentions on merit are expressly kept open.

8. No order as to costs.

[PRAVIN S. PATIL, J.]

[MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.]