

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2421 OF 2025

Nitin Annaso Pawar ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra and Anr. ...Respondents

WITH

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.2422 OF 2025

Pramod Annaso Pawar ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra and Anr. ...Respondents

Mr. Jaydeep Mane a/w Adv. Mihir Mondkar, for Applicant.

Mr. Anand Subhash Shalgaonkar, APP, for the Respondent-State.

Mr. Praveen Jadhav, for Respondent No.2.

Mr. Vinayak A. Bhanvase, Karkamb Police Station, present.

CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.

DATE : 14th JANUARY 2026.

P.C.

1. Both Applicants apprehend arrest in Crime No.236 of 2025 registered with Karkamb Police Station, Solapur Rurla, District: Solapur, for the offences punishable under Sections 75(2), 64(1) and 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short, "BNS Act").

2. The second respondent – victim has lodged the First Information Report alleging that she was having a love affair with the Applicant – Nitin A. Pawar since last six years. Because of the love affair, she had from time to time, provided money to him. They had physical relations with each other. Their physical relations used to

take place at her residential house. He has secretly recorded videos of their physical relations without her knowledge. The victim told to Nitin Pawar to stop their relations as her son had reached the age of understanding. Then, Applicant- Nitin threatened to her to make viral, their videos recorded by him and insisted that she should continue relations with him. The brother of Nitin namely, Pramod Pawar also threatened to make viral the videos and asked for sexual favours from her. Accordingly, F.I.R. was lodged.

3. Heard learned advocate for the Applicant and learned APP for the Respondent – State and learned advocate for Respondent No.2 – Informant. Perused the investigation papers.

4. Learned advocate for the second respondent strenuously submitted that the Applicants are trying to pressurize informant. Non cognizable offence are registered against the Applicants at the instance of the informant.

5. Perusal of the investigation papers reveal that the informant is major married lady. There was love affair between the Applicant - Nitin and the informant. The Applicants were granted interim protection and they have co-operated in the investigation. The Applicant – Nitin has surrendered his mobile. No objectionable material is found in the cellphone of the Applicant – Nitin.

6. Learned APP, on instructions, from the officer of the concerned police station present in the Court submitted that the informant was asked to surrender her cellphone, however, she has not surrendered it.
7. Learned advocate for the informant has pointed out from the affidavit filed by her that the Applicant – Nitin's mobile is not with her and there are no objectionable videos in her cellphone.
8. Charge-sheet is already filed in the present crime. There is hardly any evidence connecting Applicant – Pramod in the present crime. It appears that only to pressurize the Applicants, their names are involved in the present crime by the informant. Since, the Applicants have attended the police station and co-operated in the investigation, their pre-trial custodial detention is not warranted in the facts of the present case.
9. In the result, the Applications are allowed by confirming the interim protection granted to the Applicants vide order dated 6th November, 2025.
10. They shall attend the concerned police station as and when called by the Investigation Officer.
11. The Applicants shall not tamper the prosecution evidence.

(NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.)