

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
BAIL APPLICATION NO.2803 OF 2025**

Sagar Kisan IngoleApplicant
Vs.
The State of MaharashtraRespondent

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.3498 OF 2025
IN
BAIL APPLICATION NO.2803 OF 2025**

Sourabh Gajendra ShindeApplicant

In the Matter in Between :

Sagar Kisan IngoleApplicant
Vs.
The State of MaharashtraRespondent

Mr. Satyavrat Joshi with Mr. Yash Fadtare, Mr. Vaibhav Halke & Mr. Sairaj Suryavanshi, for the Applicant.

Mr. Pankaj P. Deokar, APP, for the Respondent-State.

Mr. Kuldeep U. Nikam, for the Intervenor.

Mr. Sachin Kuber Bhosale, HC/138, Sangola Police Station is present.

CORAM : MRS. VRUSHALI V. JOSHI, J.

DATED : 23rd FEBRUARY 2026

PC.:-

1. The Applicant is arrested in Crime No.648 of 2024 registered with Sangola Police Station, Solapur Rural for the offences punishable under Sections 103, 140(1), 126(2), 351(2), 351(3) and 352 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 ('BNS').

2. It is the case of prosecution that the Applicant took the witnesses with him in his car. He asked them to call the deceased. The deceased came near Gadegaon and there he took him inside the car. He took one iron rod and thereafter on the spot of incident he assaulted the deceased with iron rod on his head and gave the threats to other witnesses to keep quiet. He dropped the said deceased on the spot after assaulting him. Thereafter, the eye witnesses came to know that the deceased died on the spot and his body is taken in the village.

3. The counsel for the Applicant has stated that on 28th August 2024 he was arrested and since then he is in jail. As he is in jail since last one year and there is no progress in trial, prayed to release him on bail.

4. The learned APP opposed the application stating that the eye-witnesses stated that he has brutally committed murder of the deceased. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

5. Heard learned counsel appearing for both the parties.

6. After going through the FIR, it appears that there are 3 to 4

eye-witnesses. The eye witnesses have given the particulars of incident as it. The counsel for the complainant has stated that the deceased was having affair with the wife of the accused. The wife of accused was also with him. He has committed murder in presence of all the eye-witnesses. Considering the nature of offence, this is not a fit case to release the Applicant on bail

7. Hence, the Application is rejected.

8. In view of disposal of Bail Application, the Interim application pending therein shall also stand disposed of.

(MRS. VRUSHALI V. JOSHI, J.)

RAJU
DATTATRAYA
GAIKWAD
Digitally
signed by
RAJU
DATTATRAYA
GAIKWAD
Date:
2026.02.25
23:08:40
+0530