

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
BAIL APPLICATION NO.2300 OF 2025**

Ajay Namdev Suryawanshi .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra .....Respondents

Mr. Aniket U. Nikam with Mr. Pramod Sutar & Mr. Atharva R. B., for the Applicant.

Mr. Anand S. Shalgaonkar, APP, for the Respondent-State.

Mr. Govindrao Bajirao Pawar, for the Victim.

Mr. Santosh L. Mane, ASI, Sanjay Nagar Police Station, Sangli is present.

**CORAM : MRS. VRUSHALI V. JOSHI, J.**

**DATED : 12<sup>th</sup> FEBRUARY 2026**

**PC.:-**

1. The Applicant is arrested in Crime Registration No.11 of 2025 registered with Sanjaynagar Police Station, Sangli for the offences punishable under Sections 80(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (“**BNS**”).

2. It is alleged that the deceased took divorce from the first husband and thereafter performed the second marriage with this Applicant. It is alleged that she was having affair with the person from his office and therefore, they tortured her. All the family members tortured her for demand of money, which was complied from time to time. There was dispute between both of them and

thereafter, the deceased went to her maternal home. They asked for Rs.One Crore, as she was having love affair for compensation, out of which Rs.20,00,000/- were given and the Applicant has left the job. The said amount was transferred in her account. It is alleged that the Applicant used to withdraw the amount as the ATM card was with him. Without her permission, he used to take amount from said account. The allegations are that he used to ask to bring money and used to beat her. Even before the date of incident, the deceased informed her parents that the Applicant beat her brutally and thereafter she has committed suicide.

3. The counsel for Applicant has stated that this is not the case of dowry death. This was her second marriage. There was some dispute between the Applicant and deceased. From the whats app messages which she sent to her sister, it appears that some dispute was there and they were about to take some legal action as she has mentioned about the calling of Advocate. Considering the dispute between husband and wife and thereafter, she has committed suicide, this is not a dowry death and hence, prayed to release the Applicant on bail.

4. The learned APP opposed the application stating that there are specific allegations against this Applicant. Though the amount was transferred in the account of deceased, it was used by the Applicant. The other allegations about beating are there and fulfillment of the demands by the parents of the deceased are there. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

5. Heard learned Advocate appearing for both the parties.

6. As the specific allegations about the compromise after transfer of Rs.20,00,000/- in the account of the deceased, they started cohabiting again and it is specifically mentioned that the Applicant used to withdraw the amount and ATM card was with him. One of the incident is also specifically mentioned that when the amount of Rs.34,000/- was given to her sister, the Applicant tortured her and asked her to return said amount and after returning said amount, the allegations are that on 16<sup>th</sup> January 2025, the deceased has messaged her brother that her husband beat her and she also informed about it to her sister by calling her on mobile. He beat her upto 2:00 a.m. and thereafter, she has committed suicide.

7. Considering the allegations made against this Applicant, this is not a fit case to release the Applicant on bail. Hence, the Application is rejected.

**(MRS. VRUSHALI V. JOSHI, J.)**

Digitally  
signed by  
RAJU  
DATTATRAYA  
GAIKWAD  
Date:  
2026.02.13  
19:03:53  
+0530