IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 16494 OF 2024

Rameshwari Yogesh Pol @
Rameshwari Shirish Babar … Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. … Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 16509 OF 2024

Sujata Balwant Bhosale … Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. … Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 16505 OF 2024

Sachin Tanaji Chavan … Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. … Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 16508 OF 2024

Samruddhi Sanjay Yadav … Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. … Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 18085 OF 2024

Sheetal Arvind Pisal @
Sheetal Shreyas Chavan … Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. … Respondents

Mr. Satish S. Raut (Through V.C.) a/w. Mr. Shravan H. Sul for Petitioner.
Mr. Siddheshwar B. Kalel, A.G.P. for Respondent-State in WP/16494/2024.
Mr. V.M. Mali, A.G.P. for Respondent-State in WP/16509/2024.
Mr. R.P. Kadam, A.G.P. for Respondent-State in WP/16505/2024.
Mr. J.P. Patil, A.G.P. for Respondent-State in WP/16508/2024.
Mr. S.D. Rayrikar, A.G.P. for Respondent-State in WP/18085/2024.
Mr. Prashant Bhavake for Respondent No.4-Management.

Coram : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI &
AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, JJ.

Date : 10th February 2026.

PER COURT :

1. These Writ Petitions can be disposed of by directing the Respondent No.3-the Education Officer to take a decision on the proposal of the Petitioners for grant of approval, which was submitted on 16th June 2023.

2. The documents placed on record by the Petitioners shows that all the relevant documents were annexed with the proposal of the Petitioners.

However, the Respondent No.3 has rejected the proposal of the Petitioners by pointing out 9 deficiencies.

3. In view of the above, the proposal of the Petitioners be resubmitted by removing the deficiencies within a period of four weeks from today. The Education Officer shall take decision on the said proposal, after giving an opportunity of hearing to the Petitioners, the Management and all the concerned.

4. Learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4-Management disputes the genuineness of the documents submitted along with the proposal of the Petitioners. The Education Officer shall consider the objection and decide the same by giving reasons thereof.

5. Since the cases of the Petitioners are not covered by the Paritra Portal, as they are appointed on unaided basis and they are appointed prior to the introduction of the Pavitra Portal, the same shall not be a ground to reject the proposal of the Petitioners.

6. With the aforesaid directions, these Writ Petitions are disposed of.

[AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, J.] [NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.]

OMKAR SHIVAHAR KUMBHAKARN
Digitally signed by OMKAR SHIVAHAR KUMBHAKARN
Date: 2026.02.11 15:56:30 +0530