IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONT. PETITION NO. 588 OF 2024

Ramesh Ananda Jadhav … Petitioner
V/s.
Shri Ranjit Singh Deol … Respondent

...

Mr. Satyajeet A Rajeshirke Mr. Rahul Rave and Mr. Shubham Vasekar, Advocate for Petitioner (through VC).
Mr. Vikas M. Mali, AGP for Respondent-State.

...

CORAM : ABHAY AHUJA &
NEERAJ P. DHOTE, JJ.
DATE : 23rd OCTOBER, 2026

P.C. :

1. This matter has been listed first on board today as none had appeared for the Petitioner yesterday and the following order was passed yesterday:

> *"1. This matter is mentioned after the board has been discharged for the day.*
>
> *2. Mr. Mali, learned AGP appears for the Respondent-State and submits that by order dated 5th October 2026, bailable warrant in the sum of Rs.15,000/- was issued against the Respondent No.3-Deputy Director of Education returnable today, and the said Deputy Director of Education is present in Court after submitting the bail bond. Mr. Mali further submits*

that the order dated 14th December 2023 passed in Writ Petition No.12142 of 2022 has been fully complied with and that this Court may dispense with the presence of the Deputy Director.

3. *None is present on behalf of the Petitioner.*
4. *Accordingly list on 23rd October 2026 on the supplementary board. First on Board.*
5. *Let the Respondent No.3 remain present on the next date."*

6. As can be seen, the Respondent No.3 was present yesterday and it was recorded that he had also submitted the bail bond. The learned counsel appearing for the Respondent No.3-State had submitted that the order dated 14th December 2023 passed in Writ Petition No.12142 of 2022 had been fully complied with and a dispensation with the presence of the Deputy Director was requested for.

7. Since none was present on behalf of the Petitioner, the matter has been listed today, first on board.

8. Mr. Mali, learned A.G.P. appearing for the Respondent-State, submits that since the order dated 14th December 2023 has been fully complied with, this Court may dispose of the Contempt Petition in addition to dispensing with the presence of the Deputy Director.

9. Mr. Rajshirke, learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner raises a grievance that some part of the interest on gratuity has not been paid, which is disputed by the learned A.G.P. for the Respondent-State.

10. It is observed from the order dated 14th December 2023 that all that this Court had directed is to give all pensionary benefits under the unamended Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982 to the Petitioner.

11. Mr. Mali, learned A.G.P. appearing for the Respondent-State has submitted that gratuity along with the statutory interest of 7.1% has already been paid.

12. In our view, Mr. Rajshirke's grievance is misplaced. Accordingly, the Contempt Petition stands disposed.

13. The presence of the Deputy Director, who is present in Court is also dispensed with.

(NEERAJ P. DHOTE, J) **(ABHAY AHUJA, J.)**