IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 757 OF 2026
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 291 OF 2026

Pramod Bharat Duchal (Patil) ..APPLICANT

VERSUS

State of Maharashtra ..RESPONDENT

....

Mr. Ramanik Pawar a/w Mr. Sahil Shaikh, Mr. Nadeem Saudagar, Mr. Irfan Pendar and Mr. Vinod Shejwal, Advocates h/f Mr. Parag Nadaf, Advocate for applicant
Mr. S.H. Yadav, A.P.P. for Respondent – State
Mr. R.D. Gorad, Assistant Police Inspector, Miraj Railway Police Station

....

SHAILAJA SHRIKANT HALKUDE
Digitally signed by SHAILAJA SHRIKANT HALKUDE
Date: 2026.11.16 16:57:14 +0530

CORAM : ABHAY J. MANTRI, J.
(VACATION COURT)
(THROUGH V.C.)
DATE : 16th NOVEMBER, 2026

PER COURT :

1. The Applicant/Appellant has moved this Application for suspension of sentence awarded by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangli vide judgment and order dated 06th November, 2026 in Sessions Case No. 126 of 2018, during the pendency of the Appeal.

2. Heard learned counsel for the Applicant and learned A.P.P. for the State and perused the record.

3. Having considered the submissions of learned counsel for the Applicant and having gone through the record, prima facie, it appears that during the pendency of trial, the Applicant was on bail. After conviction, he was taken into custody, and since 06th November, 2026, he has been in jail. He has also paid the fine amount in the learned Trial Court. The maximum punishment awarded by the learned Additional Sessions Judge to the Applicant is four years.

4. It further appears that the Applicant has preferred an Appeal challenging the judgment and order dated 06th November, 2026 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangli in Sessions Case No. 126 of 2018, and the Appeal will take its own time to be finally decided. Besides, learned counsel for the Applicant has pointed out the evidence on record and the findings recorded by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangli, and submitted that the said findings are erroneous.

5. Having considered the above facts as well as the fact that the maximum punishment awarded to the Applicant is of four years and the Applicant was on bail during the pendency of trial, in my view, it would be appropriate to suspend the sentence awarded to the Applicant during the pendency of the Appeal. Hence, the following order :-

ORDER

(I) **Interim Application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (A).**

(II) Accordingly, the sentence awarded by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangli, vide judgment and order dated 06th November, 2026 in Sessions Case No. 126 of 2018 to the Applicant is hereby suspended till disposal of the Appeal.

(III) The Applicant, on furnishing P.B. and S.B. of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand) with one solvent surety in the like amount before the learned Additional Sessions Court, Sangli, be released on bail forthwith, if not required in any other case.

(IV) The applicant is directed to furnish his recent address and contact/mobile number/s with the concerned police station.

(V) Interim Application is disposed of.

(ABHAY J. MANTRI, J.)

SSD