IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 5092 OF 2026

Rajshree Ramchandra Jalanapure … Petitioner
V/s.
The State Of Maharashtra & Ors. … Respondents

...

Ms. Kanani Samiksha (through VC) Advocate for Petitioner.
Mr. Chetan Patil, Advocate for Respondents.
Mrs. Rajshree Jalanapure, party-in-person.

...

CORAM : ABHAY AHUJA &
NEERAJ P. DHOTE, JJ.
DATE : 5th NOVEMBER, 2026

P.C. :

1. This matter was heard yesterday and, at the request of Mr. Chetan Patil, learned counsel appearing for the Respondent-University, to take instructions by today to permit the Petitioner to write her examination with respect to the eight (8) papers of the Second Year LL.B. course in Marathi language, the matter has been listed second on board today.

2. When the matter is called out, Mr. Chetan Patil, on instructions, submits that, only as a special case, as the Rules do not provide for the same as yet, the Petitioner may be permitted to write the examination

with respect to the eight papers of the Second Year LL.B. course in Marathi language, after completing the formalities of filling in the examination form with respect to the said papers of the Second Year Law course by 7th November 2026, along with payment of the necessary fees.

3. Ms. Kanani, learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner, submits that the Petitioner may also be provided with the question papers in Marathi language and be evaluated in Marathi.

4. This Court has requested Mr. Chetan Patil, learned counsel appearing for the Respondent-University, to also consider, as a special case, the request for question papers in Marathi language, however, Mr. Patil, on instructions, submits that the same may not be possible as the question papers have already been sent for printing.

5. Be that as it may, having heard the learned counsel, this Court is of the view that since the Respondent-University has agreed to permit the Petitioner to write the eight papers with respect to the Second Year of the LL.B. course in the subjects in which she has not yet written the examination, in Marathi language, the Petitioner to approach Respondent No. 4-College to fill up the examination form along with the necessary fees so that the hall ticket can be issued after the College forwards the form to the University.

6. Ms. Kanani, learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner, raises an apprehension as to the next course of action in the event the Petitioner passes the papers in which she has been permitted to write in Marathi language.

7. In our view, the said apprehension is misplaced, as the next course of action can only be considered depending on the number of papers the Petitioner passes. It goes without saying that the next course of action would be as per the Rules and Regulations of the University.

8. It also goes without saying that the aforesaid order is not to be treated as a precedent in similar matters, as the concession has been made by the University in the case of the Petitioner only as a special case.

9. The Petition to accordingly stand disposed as above.

(NEERAJ P. DHOTE, J) **(ABHAY AHUJA, J.)**