IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRI-INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 597 OF 2026
IN
CR. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLN. NO. 333 OF 2026

Sanket Sanjay Konde-Deshmukh & Anr. .....Applicants

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Anr. .....Respondents

Ms. Sampada S. Patil i/b. Mr. Aadesh Konde-Deshmukh for Applicants.
Mr. Nitin B. Patil, A.P.P. for Respondent No.1-State.
Ms. Rajnandini Katkar for Respondent No.2.
Officer : PC/960 Mr. B.J. Shinde, Vaduj Police Station, present.

Coram : ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.

Date : 14th October 2026.

PER COURT :

1. Heard, Ms. Sampada Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Mr. Nitin Patil, learned A.P.P. for the Respondent No.1-State and Ms. Rajnandini Katkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.

2. By the present Application, the Applicants seek modification of bail condition No. ii(A) imposed by the order dated 8th July 2026 passed in Criminal Anticipatory Bail Application No. 333 of 2026.

3. Condition No. ii(A) of the order dated 8th July 2026 reads thus:

> *"The Applicants shall attend the concerned police Station on every Saturday between 11:00 to 2:00 p.m., till framing of the charge by the learned trial Court."*

4. Ms. Sampada Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants, states that the concerned Police Station is "Vaduj Police Station".

5. Ms. Sampada Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants, submits that the Applicants are presently working in Pune and residing at Khed-Shivapur, Ranje, Taluka Bhor, District Pune. She submits that as the Applicants are residing and working in Pune, it is difficult for them to attend and report to Vaduj Police Station, District Satara, as the distance between the two places is approximately 200 kilometres.

6. Ms. Sampada Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants, further submits that the Applicants have complied with all the conditions imposed upon them and in particular, have been reporting to Vaduj Police Station on every Saturday, between 11:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m., in compliance with bail condition Nos. ii(A) and ii(B). She submits that owing to the distance involved, travelling to Vaduj every Saturday adversely affects the Applicants' employment and livelihood. She, therefore, seeks modification of the

aforesaid condition.

7. Mr. Nitin Patil, learned A.P.P. for the Respondent No.1-State, opposes the request for modification. He submits that Respondent No. 1-State has received a proposal from the prosecution to initiate proceedings for cancellation of bail.

8. Perused the record. There is no dispute regarding the statement made by Ms. Sampada Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants, that the Applicants have been reporting to Vaduj Police Station every Saturday between 11:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. In fact,Mr. Nitin Patil, learned A.P.P. for the Respondent No.1-State, on instructions, confirms that the Applicants have been regularly reporting to Vaduj Police Station every Saturday.

9. Considering the averments made in the Application and the submissions that the Applicants are presently residing and working in Pune, the Application is allowed by modifying condition No. ii(A) of the order dated 8th July 2026 as follows:

> *"The Applicants shall appear and report to the Officer in charge of Rajgad Police Station, Pune, on every Saturday from 11:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m., until framing of charge by the Trial Court in Special Case No. 55 of 2026. The Rajgad Police Station shall forward monthly report to the Vaduj Police Station."*

10. The remaining conditions stipulated in the order dated 8th July 2026 shall remain unaltered.

11. The Applicants shall produce the Order dated 8th July 2026 passed in Criminal Bail Application No. 333 of 2026 and this order before the Officer incharge, Rajgad Police Station at the time of making their appearance at Rajgad Police Station, so as to enable the Rajgad Police Station to know the purpose of the attendance and for maintaining the record.

12. This order shall not affect the right of the prosecution to file an Application for cancellation of bail, if so advised. If any such Application is filed, the same shall be considered on its own merits and in accordance with law, uninfluenced by the order passed herein.

13. Advocate Ms. Rajnandini Katkar has appeared for Respondent No.2 under the Legal Aid Scheme. The Bombay High Court Legal Services Committee, Kolhapur Circuit Bench, shall quantify and pay the fees of Advocate Ms. Rajnandini Katkar, as per the Legal Aid Scheme.

14. The Interim Application No. 597 of 2026 is disposed of.

[ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.]

OMKAR SHIVAHAR KUMBHAKARN
Digitally signed by OMKAR SHIVAHAR KUMBHAKARN
Date: 2026.10.14 19:37:45 +0530