



Niti

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
WRIT PETITION NO.421 OF 2026

MUKESH C PATEL AND ANR

... PETITIONERS

Versus

THE STATE OF GOA THR THE CHIEF
SECRETARY AND 4 ORS

... RESPONDENTS

Mr Chaitanya Padgaonkar with Ms Anushri Naik Dessai,
Advocates for the Petitioners.

Mr Deep Shirodkar, Additional Government Advocate for
Respondent Nos.1 to 4.

**CORAM:- VALMIKI MENEZES &
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.**

DATED :- 9th June, 2026

P.C.:

Heard.

2. This Petition raises the following grievances and seeks relief against Respondent No.5; (a) the Petitioner No.2 claims that her daughter has been victimised and has not been promoted in accordance with the Rules prevailing in PWD to the post of Technical Assistant, (b) the second grievance as raised by the Petitioner no.1 and by Petitioner No.2, a public spirited persons, is that Respondent No.5 has indulged in alleged acts of corruption and favouritism towards certain contractors as also in promoting ineligible candidates within the Public

Works Department. Respondent No.5 has since retired.

3. After hearing this matter for some time, we are of the opinion that the Petitioners themselves would not have any locus standi insofar as the allegations on the service matter raised on behalf of the daughter of the Petitioner No.2. There appears to be joinder of multiple causes of action and the issue of locus standi of the Petitioners has also been examined.

4. At this stage, on instructions from the Petitioners, Mr Padgaonkar seeks leave to withdraw this Petition and file a fresh Petition separating the cause of action which may inure to the daughter of the Petitioner No.2 from the other causes pleaded in the Petition. He seeks leave to refile this Petition.

5. The Petition stands dismissed as withdrawn with leave as prayed for. All the objections of the Respondents are kept open.

HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, J.

VALMIKI MENEZES, J.