

dishanagvekar

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.91 OF 2026

STATE OF GOA, THR. THE POLICE
INSPECTOR
Versus
POOJA @ NEETU RAHUJA

... PETITIONER

... RESPONDENT

Mr. Somnath Karpe, Additional Public Prosecutor with Ms.
Samiksha Vaigankar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

CORAM:- AMIT S. JAMSANDEKAR, J.

DATED :-16th April, 2026

P.C.:

Registry to waive all office objections and register the present Petition.

2. Heard Mr. Somnath Karpe, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the Petitioner.

3. By the present proceedings, the State has challenged the order dated 08/10/2024 passed by the District Judge - 3 and Additional Sessions Judge, Panaji, in SC 302 No.10/2024. By the impugned order, the learned Judge has granted bail to the 2nd Accused on the terms and conditions more particularly mentioned in the impugned order. Mr. Karpe submitted that the trial court has wrongly granted bail to the accused.

4. Perused the record and the impugned order. I do not find any

perversity in the impugned order. The Impugned order is passed in accordance with the well established principles of law. The learned Judge has also considered the factual aspect of the matter.

5. Further, I have been informed that the trial is in progress and the Accused has been on bail since 08/10/2024.

6. In view thereof, and in view of the fact that the trial is in progress, nothing survives in the present petition.

7. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed. No order as to cost.

AMIT S. JAMSANDEKAR, J.