



siddhi

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO.217 OF 2025
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.222 OF 2025
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.49 OF 2026
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.81 OF 2026
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.82 OF 2026
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.83 OF 2026
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.99 OF 2026
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.115 OF 2026

WRIT PETITION NO.217 OF 2025
PRAVIN BHATI ... PETITIONER
Versus
INCOME TAX OFFICER,
WARD-1(1) PANAJI AND 3 ORS. ... RESPONDENTS

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.222 OF 2025
KAMLESH KUMAR DEVASI ... PETITIONER
Versus
INCOME TAX OFFICER,
WARD-1(1) PANAJI AND 3 ORS. ... RESPONDENTS

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.49 OF 2026
KAMLESH KUMAR DEVASI ... PETITIONER
Versus
INCOME TAX OFFICER,
WARD-1(1) PANAJI AND 3 ORS. ... RESPONDENTS

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.81 OF 2026
GOA ELECTRIC STORE,
THR. ITS PARTNER ... PETITIONER
Versus
INCOME TAX OFFICER,
WARD-1(1) PANAJI AND 3 ORS. ... RESPONDENTS

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.82 OF 2026
GOA ELECTRIC STORE,
THR. ITS PARTNER ... PETITIONER
Versus
INCOME TAX OFFICER,
WARD-1(1) PANAJI AND 3 ORS. ... RESPONDENTS

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.83 OF 2026
GOA ELECTRIC STORE,
THR. ITS PARTNER ... PETITIONER
Versus
INCOME TAX OFFICER,
WARD-1(1) PANAJI AND 3 ORS. ... RESPONDENTS

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.99 OF 2026
AMAR ELECTRICALS AND

ELCTRONICS,
THR. ITS PARTNER ... PETITIONER
Versus
INCOME TAX OFFICER,
WARD-1(1) PANAJI AND 3 ORS. ... RESPONDENTS

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.115 OF 2026
AMAR ELECTRICALS AND
ELCTRONICS,
THR. ITS PARTNER ... PETITIONER
Versus
INCOME TAX OFFICER,
WARD-1(1) PANAJI AND 3 ORS. ... RESPONDENTS

Ms. Pranaya Ramesh (Through V.C.) and Mr. Sahil Sardessai,
Advocates for the Petitioner.

Ms. Susan Linhares, Standing Counsel for the Central
Government, for the Income Tax Department-Respondent Nos.
1 and 2.

Mr. Raviraj Chodankar, Standing Counsel for the Central
Government, for the Union of India-Respondent No. 3 in Writ
Petition No.99/2026 and Writ Petition No. 115/2026.

**CORAM:- VALMIKI MENEZES &
AMIT S. JAMSANDEKAR, JJ.**

DATED :- 27th April, 2026

P.C.

1. Learned advocate for the Petitioner submits that the
proceedings under challenge in these petitions have now been
dropped.

2. In this view of the matter, on instructions, learned counsel for the Petitioner seeks leave of the Court to withdraw the matter
3. Petitions stand dismissed as withdrawn.

AMIT S. JAMSANDEKAR, J.

VALMIKI MENEZES, J.