



vinita

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

**MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO.337 OF 2025
WITH
TAX APPEAL NO.3 OF 2026**

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO.337 OF 2025

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF INCOME
TAX CIRCLE-1(1), PANAJI

... APPLICANT

Versus

ACHINTYA MITTAL

... RESPONDENT

WITH

TAX APPEAL NO.3 OF 2026

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF INCOME
TAX CIRCLE-1(1), PANAJI

... APPELLANT

Versus

ACHINTYA MITTAL

... RESPONDENT

Ms. Susan Linhares, Standing Counsel for the Applicant.

Mr Parag Roa and Mr Akhil Parrikar, Advocate for the Respondent No.1.

**CORAM:- SUMAN SHYAM &
AMIT S. JAMSANDEKAR, JJ.**

DATED :- 28th January, 2026

P.C.

Heard Ms S. Linhares, learned Standing Counsel for the Applicant and Mr Rao, learned Counsel for the Respondents.

2. This application has been filed with a prayer to condone the delay of 7 days in filing the connected tax appeal.

3. We have perused the explanation furnished by the Applicant for the delay. We find that sufficient explanation for condoning the delay of 7 days has been furnished by the Applicant.

4. Mr Rao, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.1 submits that he

does not have any instructions to file reply opposing the application.

5. In view of the above and the explanation furnished by the Applicant, delay of 7 days is hereby condoned. Office to register the connected Appeal and list the appeal for admission in the next week.
6. List the Appeal along with tax Appeal No. 5/2025 on 4.2.2026.
7. Application stands disposed of.

AMIT S. JAMSANDEKAR, J.

SUMAN SHYAM, J.