



Esha

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.34 OF 2026

SANYAS CHANDRAKANT SHETYE ... APPLICANT
Versus
SHAMBHAJI SHIVA MADKHOLKAR AND ANR ... RESPONDENTS

Mr. Sairaj Bhaje, Advocate under Legal Aid Scheme for the Applicant.

Mr. Ganesh Naik, Advocate for Respondent No. 1.

CORAM:- AMIT S. JAMSANDEKAR, J.

DATED :- 15th June, 2026

P.C:

Heard the learned Counsel appearing for the Applicant.

2. The Applicant and the first Respondent are personally present in the Court.

3. It is submitted that the Applicant has paid the entire compensation amount to the first Respondent and the first Respondent has accepted it in full and final settlement. It is also submitted that the Applicant has paid an amount of Rs.2,000/- to the Goa State Legal Services Authority towards costs. A receipt thereof is produced on record. Hence, it is prayed that the offence under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 be compounded.

4. The first Respondent, who is personally present in the Court, has no objection for grant of the Application.

5. The Registrar (Judicial) of this Court shall pay the amount of Rs.50,000/- along with accrued interest, if any, deposited by the Applicant to the first Respondent. Similarly, the Superintendent of the Additional Sessions Court, FTC, North Goa, Mapusa shall pay an amount of Rs.30,400/- along with accrued interest, if any, deposited by the Applicant to the first Respondent.

6. In view thereof, the Application for compounding is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a), which reads as follows:-

"(a) Permit the parties to compound the offence under Section 147 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 in CRIR No. 34 of 2026".

7. In view of the grant of prayer clause (a) of the compounding Application, the judgment and order dated 14.03.2022 passed by the learned JMFC, Pernem in Criminal Case No. OA/NIA/18/2019 and the judgment and order dated 27.10.2023 passed in Criminal Appeal No. 22/2022 by the learned Additional Sessions Judge at Mapusa are quashed and set aside and the Applicant is acquitted from the offence charged under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.

8. The Criminal Revision Application is disposed of in the above terms.

AMIT S. JAMSANDEKAR, J.