Esha

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CRIMINAL MISC. APPLICATION NO. 240 OF 2026
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 7 OF 2023

ABHIJEET ANANT DHARGALKAR … **APPLICANT**

~ VERSUS ~

DATTARAM N. SALKAR & ANOTHER … **RESPONDENTS**

APPEARANCES:

---

for the Applicant ***Mr. Raviraj Chodankar***
for Respondent No. 1 ***Mr. Digaj Bene***

CORAM : AMIT S. JAMSANDEKAR, J.

DATED : 22nd OCTOBER 2026

P.C:

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the Applicant.

2. By the present Application, the Applicant seeks to review the order dated 17.04.2026 passed by this Court in Criminal Revision Application No. 7 of 2023.

3. The matter was heard on 15.04.2026. During the course of the hearing, a statement was made on behalf of the Applicant that, apart from Rs.4,00,000/- (Rupees Four Lakhs only), which was deposited by the Applicant before this Court, the Applicant has deposited an amount of Rs.2,00,000/- (Rupees Two Lakhs only) before the learned Trial Court. Accordingly, it is recorded in the order dated 15.04.2026 that:

> *"On behalf of the Applicant, Mr Chodankar**, on instructions, makes a statement that apart from Rs.4,00,000/- (Rupees Four Lakhs only), which was deposited by the Applicant before this Court, the Applicant has deposited an amount of Rs.2,00,000/- (Rupees Two Lakhs only) before the learned Trial Court. This fact is controverted by the Advocate for the Respondent, on instructions. Be that as it may, the clarification so far as the deposit of amount towards the compensation is complete**."*
>
> (emphasis supplied)

4. On the basis of the above statement made on behalf of the Applicant, the operative part of the order dated 17.04.2026 records that:

"In the light of the fact that the Applicant has deposited an amount aggregating to Rs.6,00,000/- (Rupees Six Lakhs only) cumulatively before this Court and the learned Trial Court, this Court deems it appropriate, in the interest of justice, to stay the operation of the present order for a period of four weeks from today."

5. Accordingly, the 1st Respondent sought withdrawal of Rs.6 lakhs, stated to have been deposited by the Applicant. However, upon verification, the Registry informed the Court that the Applicant actually deposited Rs.4 lakhs, not Rs.6 lakhs. Therefore, on 07.07.2026, this Court recorded that the representation made on behalf of the Applicant that a sum of Rs.6 lakhs had been deposited in this Court was incorrect and accordingly, the Registry was directed to remit Rs.4 Lakhs to the 1st Respondent.

6. The statement made on behalf of the Applicant on 15.04.2026 that the Applicant deposited Rs.2 lakhs before the Trial Court was incorrect. Neither is there any material on this Court's record, nor has the Applicant produced any document, to indicate that the Applicant deposited Rs.2 lakhs before the Trial Court.

7. In view thereof, I find that there is no clerical error in the order dated 17.04.2026 passed in Criminal Revision Application No. 7 of 2023, as alleged by the Applicant. The Court proceeded on a false statement made on behalf of the Applicant. By making a false statement, this Court granted relief to the Applicant.

8. Accordingly, the **present Application is dismissed**.

9. This order will be digitally signed by the Private Secretary/Personal Assistant of this Court. All concerned will act on production by fax or email of a digitally signed copy of this order.

[AMIT S. JAMSANDEKAR, J.]

Signed by: VAIGANKAR ESHA SAINATH
Designation: Personal Assistant
Date: 23/10/2026 12:31:53