Suchitra

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.26/2024
IN
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.90/2019

ANJU JAIN … PETITIONER

Versus.

SANJAY SURESH JAIN … RESPONDENT

Ms Asha Desai and Ms S. Talaulikar, Advocates for the Petitioner.

Ms A. Agni, Senior Advocate with Mr J. Supekar and Ms Anamika Raul, Advocates for the Respondent.

CORAM: ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.

DATED: 2nd NOVEMBER 2026

P.C. :

1. Heard Ms Asha Desai, learned Advocate for the Petitioner and Ms A. Agni, learned Senior Advocate for the Respondent.

2. The Petitioner, by this Petition, has sought the following substantive reliefs:

> "*a) That contempt proceedings be initiated against the Respondent for deliberately violating the consent terms recorded in order dated 03/05/2019 in WPCR 90/2019 and he be suitably punished.*
>
> *b) The Petitioner be granted Rs. 5,00,000/-(Rupees Five*

Lakhs Only) towards litigation expenses and Rs. 10,00,000/- (Rupees Ten Lakhs Only) towards compensation for facing litigation at the behest of the Respondent."

3. The Petitioner alleges that the Respondent has failed to comply with Clauses I and XIV of the Consent Terms dated 03.05.2019, which form part of the Order dated 03.05.2019 passed in Criminal Writ Petition No. 90 of 2019, thereby committing Contempt of Court.

4. At the outset, Ms A. Agni, learned Senior Advocate for the Respondent, raises an objection to the maintainability of this Contempt Petition, which, according to her, was filed more than a year after the alleged breach.

5. Be that as it may. On a query to Ms Asha Desai, learned Advocate for the Petitioner, regarding compliance under Clause XIV of the Consent Terms dated 03.05.2019, Ms Asha Desai, on instructions from the Petitioner, states that the same has been complied with and that the Petitioner has no grievance in that regard.

6. Ms Asha Desai, learned Advocate for the Petitioner, however, raises an issue regarding non-compliance with Clause I of the Consent Terms dated 03.05.2019.

7. Ms A. Agni, learned Senior Advocate for the Respondent, on instructions from the Respondent, states that Clauses I, II and

III of the Consent Terms dated 03.05.2019 must be read together and that the Respondent has complied with all three clauses. She refers to paragraph No. 14 of the Order dated 23.02.2021 passed by this Court in Contempt Petition No. 17 of 2019.

8. On perusal of the memo of petition and considering the aforesaid statements of the learned Advocates, without going into the question of the maintainability of this Petition, I find no case for proceeding under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

9. Contempt Petition No.26/2024 is therefore disposed of.

ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.

Signed by: SUCHITRA NANDAN SINGBAL
Designation: Personal Assistant
Date: 02/11/2026 19:39:39