



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

BAIL APPLICATION NO. 710 OF 2026

PRAMOD SUDAM GHOLAP

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Narwade Narayan B.

APP for Respondent/s-State : Mr. C. V. Bhadane.

...

CORAM : SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.

DATE : 30.04.2026

PER COURT :-

1. Heard both sides.
2. The applicant is seeking enlargement on bail in respect of offence bearing Crime No.426 of 2025, registered with Supa Police Station, District Ahilyanagar, for the offences punishable under Sections 80, 108, 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.
3. Applicant is arrested on 28.11.2025. Charge-sheet is filed on 05.02.2026.
4. It is submitted that all other accused are released on bail. No case is made out for abatement to commit suicide. The victim was short-tempered and obstinate. The statement of father and brother would indicate that applicant is not liable for the offence in question. There is absolutely no direct or

indirect evidence against the applicant. There is no material on record to show that the alleged ill-treatment or harassment was of such a nature that the victim had no alternative than to commit suicide. Merely because the death took place within five years of marriage would not be a ground to deny relief at this stage of the proceeding to the applicant.

5. Per contra, learned APP submits that the death is within five years of the marriage. There is a material available against the applicant disclosing ill-treatment and harassment to the victim. The post mortem report would disclose three other injuries for which the applicant and co-accused are liable those are not explained by them. The statements of the witnesses would indicate constant harassment and ill-treatment. There is no any other reason to implicate the applicant falsely in the offence in question. In fact it's a case of culpable homicide amounting to murder. The victim was in the company of the applicant and others. They are liable for the serious offences.

6. Applicant is the husband who got married with the victim on 26.04.2020. A son is born on 21.03.2022. The victim committed suicide on 06.09.2025. The post mortem report shows in all five injuries on the body of the person. Last three

injuries mentioned in column No.17 cannot be co-related to hanging.

7. First Information Report shows that the deceased was being harassed by the applicant and the co-accused suspecting her fidelity and demand of dowry. Applicant is alleged to have extra marital relationship with others. The specific allegations are made against the applicant in the report. The statement of mother Lata, father Shashikant and brother Deepak would unequivocally disclose that deceased was short-tempered and obstinate. There used to be quarrel between the couple which were required to be settled. It has also come on record that deceased used to threatened the applicant for committing the suicide. Her temperament appears to be of a extreme nature. The applicant cannot be held liable solely. The victim's contribution due to her temparament cannot be ruled out.

8. I have gone through post mortem report. In column No.17 five injuries are shown. Out of them two related to hanging. There is no direct material to indicate that applicant and the co-accused are liable for inflicting the remaining injuries. This can be gone into during the course of trial.

9. The allegations of harassmment and ill-treatment are not specific. Those are needed to be gone into during the course of

trial. I am of the considered view that the harassment as alleged against the applicant cannot be said to be of such a nature so as to drag the deceased to commit suicide and no other alternative was left for her.

10. No antecedents are reported against the applicant. He is behind bar since 28.11.2025. It is desirable to grant him bail. I, therefore, pass following order :

ORDER

- (i) Bail Application is allowed.
- (ii) Applicant **PRAMOD SUDAM GHOLAP** shall be released on bail in Crime No.426 of 2025, registered with Supa Police Station, District Ahilyanagar, for the offences punishable under Sections 80, 108, 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita on furnishing P. R. bond of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees fifty thousands only) with one solvent surety of like amount on the following conditions :
 - (a) The applicant shall not tamper with prosecution evidence or contact with the prosecution witnesses.

- (b) The applicant shall furnish his mobile/cell number and address to the Investigating Officer.
 - (c) The applicant shall surrender his Aadhar Card and PAN Card to the Investigating Officer, if any.
 - (d) The applicant shall co-operate for expeditious disposal of the trial and attend the dates punctually.
- (iii) Bail application is disposed of accordingly.

(SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.)

...

vmk/-