



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

BAIL APPLICATION NO. 522 OF 2026

Ravindra S/o Bhagwan Patil,
Age : 56 Years, Occu. :
R/o Kusumba Khu,
Tq. Raver, Dist. Jalgaon. .. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

Shri Datta A. Madke, Advocate for the Applicant.
Mrs. P. V. Diggikar, A.P.P. for the Respondent – State.

CORAM : SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.
DATE : 06TH APRIL, 2026.

FINAL ORDER :

. Heard both sides. Applicant is seeking enlargement on bail in respect of offence bearing Cr. No. 466/2025 registered with Raver Police Station, Tq. Raver, Dist. Jalgaon for the offences punishable U/Sec. 103(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2. Applicant is arrested on 18.11.2025. Charge sheet is filed on 18.01.2026.

3. Applicant is facing allegations that in the night on 17.11.2025 he assaulted deceased Sachin who is his son by maltreatment due to frequent quarrels and disputes over the property. It is further alleged that applicant himself informed the incident to

the Police Inspector by phone. It is revealed during the course of investigation that on 17.11.2025 in the evening deceased was found drunken half claded and seen in front of house of Munnabai at village Kusumba. He was abusing and quarreling with the applicant. He was taken to house by the witnesses. The applicant is alleged to have been in his company in the night and in the morning of 18.11.2025 the deceased was found in the pool of blood having received injuries on head.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that deceased is the son of the applicant. He was persistently quarreling on the count of property. He was addicted to liquor. He was tried to be settle down by the applicant by purchasing second hand auto rickshaw. In the evening of the fateful day, he had created the scene and he was totally drunken and quarreling with the applicant. It is submitted that in view of the statement of Smt. Ranjanabai Ravindra Patil, it is clear cut case of culpable homicide not amounting to murder as it is clearly covered by exception of grave and sudden provocation. The harassment by deceased constrained the applicant to commit overt act. There is no reason to infer any motive and *mens rea*.

5. Per contra, learned A. P. P. points out statements of witnesses who were present on 17.11.2025 in the evening, spot panchanama and postmortem report. The weapon was seized from the spot stained with blood and column No. 17 of the medico legal certificate corresponds with the prosecution theory. It is

further submitted that applicant was last seen in the company of the deceased. There are nine injuries noticed on the person of the deceased. It is submitted that there was strong motive for the applicant to commit murder.

6. I have gone through the first information report, statement of Ranjanabai, spot panchanama and postmortem report. There is no direct evidence against the applicant. The applicant is seen in the company of the deceased in the night intervening 17.11.2025 and 18.11.2025. In the morning of 18.11.2025 deceased was seen lying in the pool of blood having injuries on his person. The spot panchanama, interestingly shows presence of not only wooden mallet having blood stains, but country liquor bottle, liquor bottle of macdonald and bottle of sprite.

7. It has been brought on record that on 17.11.2025 in the evening the deceased was present in front of house of Munnabai. He was drunken half clad and having quarrel with the applicant. Thereafter he was taken to his home by the witnesses and the applicant.

8. It is pertinent to notice that the deceased was son born from first marriage of the applicant. His mother Meerabai died in 2002 and second marriage was performed. The deceased was addicted to liquor. He was accused in a POSCO case registered with Wapi Police Station. He used to visit Kusumba and there were frequent quarrels with the applicant. Even his maternal

uncle was also fed up with his misbehaviour. It further reveals that applicant had tried to settle him by purchasing second hand auto rickshaw at Kusumba.

9. On 17.11.2025 in the evening he was drunk and unbridled. In the night between 17.11.2025 to 18.11.2025 liquor bottles were found. Statement of Ranjanabai clearly shows that due to grave and sudden provocation a drastic step was required to be taken by the applicant by killing his own son. The material on record is indicative of the fact that applicant has defence available under exception of Sec. 102 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita. There is no reason for a father to commit murder of his son. He did not flee away from the spot. He intimated the incident to the police.

10. There is sufficient incarceration. Investigation is over. The Trial is likely to consume some time. No antecedents are reported against the applicant. Further detention of the applicant is not required. I, therefore, pass following order.

O R D E R

A. The bail application is allowed.

B. Applicant – Ravindra S/o Bhagwan Patil shall be released on bail in respect of Cr. No. 466/2025 registered with Raver Police Station, Tq. Raver, Dist. Jalgaon for the offences punishable U/Sec. 103(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 on condition of furnishing P. R. bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rs. Twenty

Five thousands only) with one solvent surety of like amount.

C. The applicant shall not tamper with prosecution evidence or contact with the prosecution witnesses.

D. The applicant shall cooperate for speedy disposal of the Trial.

E. Bail application is disposed of.

[SHAILESH P. BRAHME J.]

bsb/April 26