



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 642 OF 2026
IN REVN/59/2026

Chandrashekhar Murlidhar Bhasme

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra

...

Ms. K. S. Sarin, Advocate for Applicant

Mr. N. R. Dayama, APP for Respondents

CORAM : ABHAY S. WAGHWASE, J
DATE : FEBRUARY 18, 2026

PC :

1. Present application is for suspension of sentence and grant of bail as a result of conviction recorded by learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nandurbar in RCC No. 09/2020 vide judgment and order dated 24.02.2023 and further confirmed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Nandurbar in Criminal Appeal No.07/2023 vide judgment and order dated 04.02.2026.

2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that, present Applicant has been tried for offences under Sections 354-A and 509 the Indian Penal Code. That, learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nandurbar was pleased to convict the Applicant for above offences. The said judgment was challenged before learned Additional Sessions Judge,

Nandurbar by filing Criminal Appeal No. 07/2023, however, even learned First Appellate Court was pleased to confirm the conviction and reject the appeal, against which, present Revision has been filed. She submits that, Applicant has been taken into custody by virtue of above orders. That, in Trial Court as well as before Appellate Court Applicant was on bail. Learned Counsel for Applicant made statement across the bar that, even fine amount has already been deposited before Trial Court. That, during the pendency of the Revision, present Application for bail is pressed into service. That, Applicant has good case on merit in Revision and has every hope in succeeding the case. According to her, there are several infirmities in the impugned judgment and order. However, as much more time would be required to hear Revision, he urges to grant of bail.

3. Learned APP strongly opposed the Application on the ground that, there are concurrent findings by the both the Courts below and conviction has been affirmed by the both the Courts on the strength of cogent and reliable evidence.

4. In the present case, admittedly, Applicant is convicted for above offence. Sentence awarded is of three years, against which present Revision has been preferred. Revision is of year 2026 and will take long time to hear. Taking into account statement made across the

bar that, Applicant was on bail before both the Courts below and fine amount is paid, relief, as prayed, deserves to be granted.

5. In the light of above and considering the nature of proceedings and as Revision is yet to be heard, relief of bail deserves to be granted.

6. In view of above, following order:

O R D E R

- (a) Criminal Application stands allowed.
- (b) Substantive sentence imposed on applicant by learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nandurbar in RCC No. 09/2020 vide judgment and order dated 24.02.2023 and further confirmed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Nandurbar in Criminal Appeal No.07/2023 vide judgment and order dated 04.02.2026, stands suspended till the final hearing and disposal of present Criminal Revision Application.
- (c) The applicant be released on bail on P.R. Bond of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees fifteen thousand only) with one solvent surety in the like amount.
- (d) The applicant shall not commit any criminal activity.
- (e) Bail before trial Court.

(ABHAY S. WAGHWASE, J.)

Umesh