



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 634 OF 2026
IN BA/1664/2025

SWAPNIL GULAB SURYAWANSHI

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

Advocate for Applicant : Ms. Shilpa Aurangabadkar h/f Mr. Satej S. Jadhav

APP for Respondents-State : Mr. G. O. Wattamwar

CORAM : SACHIN S. DESHMUKH, J.

Date : 18th February, 2026

ORDER :-

1. By order dated 28.11.2025 passed in Bail Application No. 1664 of 2025, the applicant, Swapnil was enlarged on regular bail by this Court, in connection with Crime No. 88 of 2025 registered at Kasarshirsi Police Station for offences punishable under Sections 103(1), 189(2), 191(2), 190, 115(2), and 352 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. The said order is perused.

2. While granting the bail, conditions were imposed, one of which mentioned in Clause '(A)' was that -

"A). The applicants Swapnil Gulab Suryawanshi, Ajay Mahesh Chounda and Gajendra Shivraj Sarvade shall not enter the jurisdiction of Kasarshirsi Police Station, Taluka

Nilanga, District Latur, till conclusion of trial, except with prior written permission of the Superintendent of Police, Latur, in case of any emergency."

3. By way of the present application, the applicant seeks the relaxation of the aforementioned condition.

4. The applicant seeks relaxation of the condition stipulated in Clause '(A)' on the grounds that his own marriage is scheduled to be solemnized on 20.02.2026.

5. The learned APP has opposed the application and prayed for rejection of the same.

6. Upon considering the submission of both the sides and perusing the material on record, the applicant has been enlarged on bail by this Court vide order dated 28.11.2025. Now, the solemnization of one's own marriage is a significant event.

7. The applicant's physical presence within the jurisdiction of Kasarshirsi Police Station is essential, not only for the ceremony itself but also for the performance of essential pre and post wedding rituals that are deeply rooted in social and religious customs. Depriving the applicant of participation in such an event

would be disproportionately harsh.

8. To address the concerns raised by the prosecution, the relaxation of the condition need not be absolute; instead, it can be granted for a limited duration. Consequently, considering the nature of the ground cited and the importance of family ties, the application deserves to be allowed for certain time period.

9. In the result, following order is passed.

ORDER

- A. Application is **allowed**.
- B. The original condition mentioned in Clause '(A)' in order dated 28.11.2025 passed in Bail Application No. 1664 of 2025 **is relaxed for six weeks with immediate effect.**
- C. Except above relaxation, rest conditions in the order shall remain intact.

(SACHIN S. DESHMUKH, J.)

Omkar Joshi