



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

113 REVIEW APPLICATION (CIVIL) NO. 33 OF 2026
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.14322 OF 2023

The Divisional Transport Superitendent Rajya Parivahan Mahamandal

VERSUS

Popat Sakharam Jadhav

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Dhongade Anilkumar B.

Advocate for Respondent No.1 in WP : Adv. Asfiya N. Ansari

...

CORAM : SIDDHESHWAR S. THOMBRE, J.

DATE : 24.02.2026

PER COURT :

1. In paragraph No. 2 of the impugned order, this Court has observed that the employee was reinstated in service and the same is already given effect.
2. Learned counsel for the parties submit that he petitioner had already superannuated during the pendency of the proceedings before the Labour Court.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to point out any apparent error on the face of the record warranting interference by way of a review.
4. I do not find any infirmity or error in the order passed by this Court. Hence, I am not inclined to entertain the review application and the same stands dismissed.

[SIDDHESHWAR S. THOMBRE]
JUDGE

