



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**960 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3609 OF 2026
IN FA/2116/2025**

RAVINDRA MADHAV KOLI

VERSUS

TAPI IRRIGATION DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. More Kumar Gaurav Madhav

Addl.G.P for Respondent/State : Mr. A.R. Kale

...

**961 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3611 OF 2026
IN FA/2115/2025**

MADHURI KISHOR DESHPANDE

VERSUS

TAPI IRRIGATION DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. More Kumar Gaurav Madhav

AGP for Respondent/Sate : Mr. C.V Bhadane

...

**62 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3622 OF 2026
IN FA/1509/2025**

EKNATH GOVINDA PATIL

VERSUS

TAPI IRRIGATION DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. More Kumar Gaurav Madhav

AGP for Respondent/State : Mr. S.V. Hange

...

**963 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3627 OF 2026
IN FA/2079/2025**

KISHOR VASANT DESHPANDE

VERSUS

TAPI IRRIGATION DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. More Kumar Gaurav Madhav

AGP for Respondent/State : Mr. C. V. Bhadane

...

**964 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3629 OF 2026
IN FA/2080/2025**

JAGANNATH WAMAN PATIL
VERSUS
TAPI IRRIGATION DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. More Kumar Gaurav Madhav
AGP for Respondent/Stat : Mrs. P.V. Diggikar

...
965 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3630 OF 2026
IN FA/2022/2025

SUNIL GANESHLAL AGRAWAL DIED THROUGH HIS LRS JYOTI SUNIL
AGRAWAL
VERSUS
TAPI IRRIGATION DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. More Kumar Gaurav Madhav
A.G.P. for Respondent/State : Mr. G.O. Wattamwar

...
966 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3631 OF 2026
IN FA/2077/2025

BHAGWAT GOVINDA PATIL DIED THROUGH HIS LRS PRAVIN BHAGWAT
PATIL DIED THROUGH HIS LRS ARUNA PRAVIN P
VERSUS
TAPI IRRIGATION DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. More Kumar Gaurav Madhav
A.G.P. for Respondent/State : Mr. C. V. Bhadane

...
967 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3632 OF 2026
IN FA/2122/2025

SHANTARAM LOTU PATIL
VERSUS
TAPI IRRIGATION DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. More Kumar Gaurav Madhav
A.G.P. for Respondent/State : N. R. Dayma

...

CORAM : SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.

DATE : 26.03.2026

PER COURT :

The applicants are seeking modification of the order as due to supervening events confusion is created while directing them to receive the amount.

2. It transpires that applicants were permitted to receive the amount vide order dated 09.06.2025. The Acquiring Body was expected to deposit the entire amount and after depositing that amount the order dated 09.06.2025 was to be operated. However, instead of depositing entire amount , the Acquiring Body deposited only 50% of the amount with accrued interest. In view of this situation, the office did not permit the applicants to receive the amount in pursuance of the order dated 09.06.2025.

3. No fault can be attributable to the applicants. They are entitled to receive the amount but the lapses are on the part of the Acquiring Body. In view of the supervening events, it is desirable to permit the applicants to receive the amount deposited with accrued interest on furnishing undertaking.

4. The applicants shall be permitted to receive entire deposited amount with accrued interest on furnishing undertaking to the satisfaction of the Registrar (Judicial) of this Court.

5. The Civil Applications are disposed of.

(SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.)

mkd/-