



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

1 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2578 OF 2026
IN FA/1341/2003

SATISH NAGHNATHRAO PATIL DECEASED LRS MALHAR AND ORS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR NANDED AND ORS

...
Advocate for Appellant : Mr. A. M. Gaikwad.
AGP for Respondent / State : Mr. S. B. Jadhav.
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. Shirish G. Sangle.
...

CORAM : **SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.**
DATE : 09th March, 2026.

P.C.:

1 The *Vakalatnama* of proposed legal representatives is filed and taken on record. Death certificate of applicant No.5.1 (Radheshyam) is dispensed with. As far as the name of Pramilabai is concerned, there is certificate issued by the Police Patil and Sarpanch that Pramila and Premala are one and the same person. Thus, all the office objections are complied with.

2 This application is filed for condonation of delay, setting aside abatement and permission to bring the legal representatives of deceased claimant Pramilabai on record, as mentioned in the title clause of the application.

3 Perused the application. There is delay of 5437 days
caused in filing this application.

4 On perusal of the application and reasons stated therein, it
appears that the applicants hail from rural area and they were not
knowing the procedure. They could not communicate the death of
claimant Pramilabai to their advocate. Therefore, the delay is caused.
The delay is not deliberately caused.

5 For the reasons stated in the application, the application
deserves to be allowed as the delay is not caused deliberately and
intentionally. Hence, the delay is condoned. Abatement is set aside.
Permission is granted to bring the legal representatives of deceased
claimant Pramilabai on record. Necessary amendment be carried out
forthwith. The civil application stands disposed of.

[SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.]

nga