



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

1029 WRIT PETITION NO. 418 OF 2016

GAJANAN MAHADEORAO TALHAR

VERSUS

THE JALGAON JANTA SAHAKARI BANK LTD THROUGH ITS
MANAGER AND ANOTHERS

...

Mr. Pramod S. Gaikwad, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Ankush N. Nagargoje, Advocate for Respondent No.1.

...

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2482 OF 2026
IN WP/418/2016

GAJANAN MAHADEORAO TALHAR THROUGH LEGAL HEIRS MANIK
W/O GAJANAN TALHAR

VERSUS

THE JALGAON JANTA SAHAKARI BANK LTD THROUGH ITS
MANAGER AND ANOTHERS

...

Mr. Pramod S. Gaikwad, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. Ankush N. Nagargoje, Advocate for Respondent No.1.

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2585 OF 2026
IN WP/418/2016

GAJANAN MAHADEORAO TALHAR DIED THR. SMT MANIK GAJANAN
TALHAR

VERSUS

THE JALGAON JANTA SAHAKARI BANK LTD THROUGH ITS
MANAGER AND ANOTHERS

...

Mr. Pramod S. Gaikwad, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. Ankush N. Nagargoje, Advocate for Respondent No.1.

...

CORAM : SIDDHESHWAR S. THOMBRE, J.

DATE : 6th MARCH 2026

P.C.:-

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2482 OF 2026:-

1. The Applicant seeks permission to bring the legal heirs of the Petitioner – Shri Gajanan Mahadeorao Talhar, i.e., Applicant Nos.1-A to 1-D, on record.
2. Considering the averments made in the application, the application deserves to be allowed and is accordingly allowed.
3. The Petitioner is directed to carry out the necessary amendment within a period of one week from today.

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2585 OF 2026:-

4. By this application, the Applicant seeks permission to serve the unserved Respondent No.2 by way of paper publication.
5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Petitioner does not have the address of Respondent No.2 and, therefore, despite efforts made since several years, Respondent No.2 could not be served.
6. In view of the above, the application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (B).

WRIT PETITION NO. 418 OF 2016:-

7. Issue notice to Respondent No.2, returnable on 06.04.2026.

(SIDDHESHWAR S. THOMBRE, J.)